

John Keel, CPA State Auditor

A Report on

# The Use of Criminal History Information by Texas State Agencies and Institutions of Higher Education

January 2007 Report No. 07-009



A Report on

# The Use of Criminal History Information by Texas State Agencies and Institutions of Higher Education

SAO Report No. 07-009 January 2007

### Overall Conclusion

In an effort to determine how state agencies and higher education institutions apply their statutory authority regarding criminal background checks<sup>2</sup>, the State Auditor's Office compiled this report. Specifically, this report includes the results of two surveys, reviews of policies and procedures, and a benchmarking study of Texas statutory authority with the statutory authority of the four neighboring states.

The results of our surveys indicate that all but one higher education institution and half of the state agencies surveyed collect criminal history information on certain prospective and current employees, clients, students, or contractors. Additional information from our review shows the following:

### **Higher Education Institutions - Employee** Criminal Background Checks

> Texas Government Code, Section 411.094, provides a broad definition for the term "security sensitive position" and authorizes higher education institutions to access criminal history information on applicants for these positions. Higher education institutions interpret and apply the term differently. Forty percent of the higher education institutions designate all positions as security sensitive, while others

define that term more narrowly.

> Fifty-seven (98 percent) of 58 higher education institutions surveyed collect criminal history information on prospective or current employees.

### Background

This report provides information on how 46 state agencies and 58 higher education institutions access and use criminal history information. This project supplements the information provided on 55 state agencies in An Audit Report on State Agencies' Use of Criminal History Records, (State Auditor's Office Report No. 06-049, July 2006).

For this project, the State Auditor's Office conducted two surveys: one was administered to state agencies and the other was administered to higher education institutions. All targeted agencies and higher education institutions responded to the surveys.

The State Auditor's Office also reviewed higher education institution and agency policies and procedures and identified best practices regarding criminal background checks embodied in those policies and procedures.

The office also benchmarked agency and higher education institution statutory authority with the statutory authority of the four neighboring states.

This project was a review; therefore, the information in this report was not subjected to all the tests and confirmations that would be performed in an audit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This does not include community and junior colleges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the purpose of this project "accessing criminal history information" and "performing criminal background checks" are used interchangeably.

#### A Report on The Use of Criminal History Information by Texas State Agencies and Institutions of Higher Education SAO Report No. 07-009

- ➤ Forty-nine (86 percent) of the 57 higher education institutions that collect criminal history information do so on all employees in the positions they identified as security sensitive.
- > Forty-nine (86 percent) of the 57 higher education institutions have developed policies and procedures for performing criminal background checks on current and prospective employees.

#### Higher Education Institutions - Student Criminal Background Checks

- > Thirty-one (65 percent) of the 48 higher education institutions that offer specific academic programs noted in our survey perform criminal background checks on students in majors that may lead to professions involving interaction with children, the elderly, patients, students, or people with disabilities.
- > Fourteen (40 percent) of the 35 higher education institutions that offer education or teacher certification programs perform criminal background checks on teaching majors.
- > Seventeen (55 percent) of the 31 higher education institutions that perform criminal background checks on students have developed policies and procedures for performing these criminal background checks.
- ➤ There is no state statutory requirement for higher education institutions to conduct criminal background checks on students.

#### State Agencies

- > Twenty-one of the 46 state agencies surveyed perform criminal background checks on employees. Fourteen (67 percent) of those agencies perform checks on all types of critical positions they identified in our survey.
- > Twenty-three of the 46 state agencies surveyed perform criminal background checks on employees, contractors, or clients. Seventeen (74 percent) of those agencies have developed applicable policies and procedures.

#### Higher Education Institutions and State Agencies

- Both agencies and higher education institutions rely largely on the less rigorous and less costly types of criminal background checks for employees, students, clients, and contractors. These types of criminal background checks include name-based checks, self-disclosure, and other methods such as using private vendors and searching public information databases.
- > Agencies and higher education institutions do not always require contractors to perform criminal background checks on their employees.

#### A Report on The Use of Criminal History Information by Texas State Agencies and Institutions of Higher Education SAO Report No. 07-009

In addition, results of our benchmarking indicate that <u>Texas statutory</u> requirements for authorizing the collection of criminal history information or requiring criminal background checks are more explicit and comprehensive than the statutes of four neighboring states.

# Summary of Objective, Scope, and Methodology

The project objective was to provide descriptive information about the current authority and practices for performing criminal background checks by state agencies and higher education institutions and, if applicable, to identify items for consideration.

The scope of this project covered 58 higher education institutions and 46 state agencies not previously audited or surveyed by the State Auditor's Office regarding criminal history information.

Information regarding the authority and practices for obtaining criminal history information was obtained through the following:

- > Surveying higher education institutions and state agencies not previously surveyed or audited on the subject.
- > Benchmarking Texas statutory authority or requirements with statutes of four neighboring states.
- Reviewing applicable policies and procedures developed by higher education institutions and agencies.

# **Contents**

# **Detailed Results**

	Chapter 1 Literature Review	. 1
	Chapter 2 Survey Results	. 3
	Chapter 3 Agency and Higher Education Institutions: Policies and Procedures	19
	Chapter 4 Benchmarking Texas Statutes with Those in Neighboring States	21
	Chapter 5 Items for Consideration	27
Appe	ndices	
	Appendix 1 Objective, Scope, and Methodology	28
	Appendix 2 Copy of Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information	32
	Appendix 3 Copy of Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information	43
	Appendix 4 State Agencies and Higher Education Institutions That Participated in the State Auditor's Office's Survey	59
	Appendix 5 Best Practices for Performing Criminal Background Check: Policies and Procedures	63
	Appendix 6 Bibliography and References	68

# **Detailed Results**

Chapter 1

### Literature Review

#### Recent Study on Use of Criminal History Information

following three methods:

According to An Audit Report on State Agencies' Use of Criminal History Records (State Auditor's Office Report No. 06-049, July 2006), any entity authorized by Texas statutes to access criminal history record information can obtain that information using one of the

# Criminal History Record Information

According to Texas Government Code, Section 411.082, criminal history record information is "information collected about a person by a criminal justice agency that consists of identifiable descriptions and notations of arrests, detentions, indictments, information, and other formal criminal charges and their dispositions."

- The state name-based search. Using this method, a person's name is used to search in the Computerized Criminal History System--the Texas repository of criminal history data that local criminal justice agencies report to the Department of Public Safety. Name matches are the least accurate check, though it is also the least expensive (\$1 per check).
- The state fingerprint search. This type of search is run using the Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS), which uses a biometric identification methodology that automates the processing of fingerprint cards reported to the Department of Public Safety. AFIS checks cost \$15 each and are considered more accurate than name-based checks.
- The Federal Bureau of Investigation fingerprint check. This type of search employs the Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification System, which is the national criminal history and fingerprint system and contains over 47 million subjects. The Federal Bureau of Investigation system is the most comprehensive of the three types of checks, but it is also the most expensive (\$24 per check).

Texas Government Code, Chapter 411, authorizes some state agencies, as well as all higher education institutions, to access the Computerized Criminal History System at the Department of Public Safety. The authority on specific criminal background checks are often located in other parts of Texas statutes, some as permissive authority and some as mandatory authority. For example:

 The Department of State Health Services is required to conduct a criminal background check on license applicants for "a massage therapist, massage school, massage therapy instructor, or massage establishment" (Texas Occupations Code, Section 455.1525), while Texas Government Code, Section 411.110, authorizes the agency to access criminal history record information.

- Texas Government Code, Section 411.094, and Texas Education Code, Section 51.215, specifically authorize higher education institutions to conduct checks on employment applicants for "security sensitive" positions.
- Though not listed in Texas Government Code, Chapter 411, the Texas Residential Construction Commission may conduct criminal background checks on builders' applications with information from the Department of Public Safety, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, or other government entities (Texas Property Code, Section 416.002).

Any entity not statutorily authorized to access criminal history record information can require disclosure by the individuals it performs checks on, or it can purchase similar information from private companies, as long as the Fair Credit Reporting Act is followed. The Fair Credit Reporting Act requires consent of the person in question and imposes limits on the kind of information that can be reported (see Title 15, United States Code, Section 1681b-c).

#### Reports on Problems Associated with Criminal Background Checks

According to An Audit Report on State Agencies' Use of Criminal History Records, (State Auditor's Office Report No. 06-049, July 2006), agencies are not taking full advantage of their access to criminal history information. A survey conducted to supplement that audit report showed that criminal history information, especially Federal Bureau of Investigation information, has not been sufficiently used, even among agencies with authorized access. That survey found that 38 of the 54 agencies authorized under Texas Government Code, Chapter 411, to access criminal history information indicated they

#### **Definitions**

For the purpose of this project,

- Criminal history record information (criminal history information) refers to records/information pertaining to an individual's arrests, detentions, indictments, and other formal criminal charges and their dispositions.
- Criminal background check refers to the process of collecting criminal history for the purpose of ascertaining whether an individual is eligible to obtain or retain services or employment.
- Contracting means the practice of outsourcing with an outside entity, called the contractor, to provide a service or product that otherwise would be provided by the agency internally.

performed checks on applicants for new licenses, permits, or certificates, and 20 of them performed fingerprint checks. Thirty-three agencies (61 percent) reported that they performed checks on employees and contractors. Of those 33 agencies, 10 ran applicants' fingerprints through the Department of Public Safety or the Federal Bureau of Investigation fingerprint databases. Two agencies did not access criminal history information at all.

The July 2006 audit studied criminal background check practices of agencies explicitly authorized under Texas Government Code Chapter 411; this report focuses on practices of all higher education institutions and other agencies not covered in the July 2006 audit.

## Survey Results

Chapter 2-A

Overview of State Agencies and Higher Education Institutions - Access Authority and Use of Criminal History Information

In September 2006, the State Auditor's Office surveyed 46 state agencies not covered by the July 2006 audit and all 58 institutions of higher education

#### Authority to Access Criminal History Information

All of the 54 agencies covered by the July 2006 audit are explicitly authorized under Chapter 411 of the Texas Government Code to access criminal history information at the Department of Public Safety.

In September 2006, the State Auditor's Office surveyed 46 state agencies not included in the July 2006 audit and all 58 institutions of higher education.

Twelve of these agencies have explicit authority to access criminal history information. Thirty-four agencies do not have explicit authority to access criminal history information, but they can access similar information by other means (for example, using private vendors or searching public information databases). All higher education institutions are explicitly authorized to access criminal history information on applicants for security sensitive positions.

regarding their authority and practices for criminal background checks (see Appendices 2 and 3 for the survey instruments and Appendix 4 for a list of agencies and higher education institutions that participated in the surveys).

Results of those surveys show the following:

- All but one of the 58 higher education institutions collect criminal history information on <u>prospective and/or current employees</u>.
- Half of the state agencies surveyed collect criminal history information on prospective employees, clients, or contractors.
- State agencies with explicit authority to obtain criminal history information are more likely to perform criminal background checks than those without this authority.
- Overall, of the 46 agencies surveyed, 21 (46 percent) indicated that they obtain criminal history information on prospective or current employees. Specifically, 11 of 12 agencies with explicit authority to obtain criminal history information perform criminal background checks on their current or prospective employees. In contrast, only 10 (29 percent) of the 34 agencies that do not have explicit authority<sup>3</sup> perform checks on their employees.
- For comparison purposes, 61 percent of the 54 agencies surveyed in February 2006 were conducting checks on employees, contractors, or both.<sup>4</sup>
- While the February 2006 survey identified 70 percent of 54 agencies as performing criminal background checks on their clients (for example,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Explicit authority does not include general authority to access criminal history record information for information technology personnel specified in Texas Government Code, Section 411.1405.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The survey question asked in the July 2006 audit was: "When does your agency conduct criminal background checks on applicants for employment or contractors?" Therefore, establishing a breakdown between applicants and contractors is not possible for that survey. Survey respondents were free to include any type of contractors, so the contractor data from that survey does not directly compare with the data collected in September 2006.

applicants for licenses and permits), only three of the 12 agencies that have explicit authority indicated in the September 2006 survey they perform criminal background checks on clients. <sup>5</sup> None of the 34 agencies without explicit authority perform criminal background checks on clients.

Table 1 summarizes agencies' and higher education institutions' access authority and use of criminal history information.

Table 1

State Agencies and Higher Education Institutions Access Authority and Use of Criminal History Information								
Individuals on Whom Criminal History Information is Obtained	Agency Survey Results <sup>a</sup> (February Survey 2006, N=54)	Agencies With Explicit Authority (September 2006 Survey, N=12) <sup>c</sup>	Agencies Without Explicit Authority (September 2006 Survey, N=34) <sup>c</sup>	Higher Education Institutions (September 2006 Survey, N=58)				
Prospective/current employees	61% <sup>b</sup>	91.7%	29.4%	98.3%				
	(33)	(11)	(10)	(57)				
Contractors' employees		8.3%	11.8%	44.8%				
		(1)	(4)	(26)				
Clients for agencies/	70%	25.0%	0.0%	53.4%				
Students for higher education institutions	(38)	(3)		(31)				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Survey results are from *An Audit Report on State Agencies' Use of Criminal History Records*, State Auditor's Office Report No. 06-049, July 2006.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mbox{\scriptsize b}}$  This percentage combines both agency employees and contractors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Overall, 23 (50 percent) of the 46 state agencies surveyed in September 2006 conduct criminal background checks on current or prospective employees, contractors' employees, or clients.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Few respondents indicated they perform criminal background check on clients. This may be because many of the agencies surveyed do not issue licenses or permits. No conclusions were made on specific agency practices related to clients.

#### Chapter 2-B

# Higher Education Institutions - Criminal Background Checks on Security Sensitive Positions

# Security Sensitive Positions

Texas Government Code, Section 411.094, and Texas Education Code, Section 51.215, define a security sensitive position at a higher education institution as employment held by an employee who: (A) handles currency; (B) has access to a computer terminal; (C) has access to a master key; or (D) works in a location designated as a security sensitive area.

These statutes authorize higher education institutions to obtain the criminal history information of applicants for those positions.

Texas Government Code, Section 411.094, defines "security sensitive position" and authorizes higher education institutions to access criminal history information on applicants for those positions. The statute also allows the higher education institutions to access criminal history for positions in security sensitive areas designated by the institutions. To determine how the higher education institutions apply the term "security sensitive positions," the State Auditor's Office asked whether they designate all, some, or none of their positions as security sensitive. We found that:

- Generally, higher education institutions interpret and apply the term "security sensitive position" differently.
- Forty percent of the institutions, including five of nine health-related institutions, two-fifths of academic universities, one-half of system offices<sup>7</sup>, and one of the state and technical colleges, designate all positions as security sensitive. The remainder designate only some positions as security sensitive.

Table 2 summarizes the designation of security sensitive positions at higher education institutions.

Table 2

Designation of Security Sensitive Positions At Higher Education Institutions <sup>a</sup>								
Positions Designated Security Sensitive	Academic Universities (N=35)	Health-related Institutions (N=9)	System Offices (N=6)	State and Technical Colleges (N=7)	Totals (N=57)			
All positions	40%	56%	50%	14%	40%			
Some positions	60%	44%	50%	86%	60%			
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The question on the designation of security sensitive positions was addressed only to higher education institutions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For higher education institutions, the researchers used the term "security sensitive positions"; for state agencies, the term used was "critical positions." The latter term was adopted from "Definition of Critical Elements For Use in University Background Check Policy Identified by Function," *Human Resources, University of California – Irvine,* Revised December 4, 2000, <a href="http://www.hr.uci.edu/consult/critical\_elem\_matrix.pdf">http://www.hr.uci.edu/consult/critical\_elem\_matrix.pdf</a> (September 18, 2006).

One system office indicated it does not perform any criminal background checks and did not answer the question on security sensitive positions.

For this survey, we listed 21 types of positions that appear to meet the definition of "security sensitive" and asked the higher education institutions to indicate which positions they have, which positions they consider security sensitive, and whether they require criminal background checks<sup>8</sup> for those positions.

Based on the survey responses, we found that:

- Forty-nine (86 percent) of the 57 higher education institutions that perform criminal background checks do so on all employees in the positions they identified as security sensitive.
- Teaching and research positions are least likely to be designated as security sensitive; therefore, employees in these positions are the least likely to receive criminal background checks. More specifically:
  - Fifty higher education institutions have teaching positions, but only 28 designate them as security sensitive. Twenty-six of these institutions are performing criminal background checks on these positions.
  - Forty-three higher education institutions have research positions, but only 30 have designated them as security sensitive. Twenty-six of these institutions are performing criminal background checks on employees in these positions.
- Two higher education institutions have neither designated fiscal operation positions as security sensitive nor performed criminal background checks on personnel in these positions.
- Four higher education institutions do not designate information technology positions as security sensitive or perform criminal background checks on employees in these positions. Six higher education institutions do not designate academic computing positions as security sensitive or perform criminal background checks on employees in these positions. However, these positions most likely fall under the definition provided in Texas Government Code, Section 411.094.

Table 3 summarizes higher education institutions' criminal background check practices on security sensitive positions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For the purpose of the project "accessing criminal history information" and "performing criminal background checks" are used interchangeably.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Six and seven higher education institutions, respectively, do not designate information technology and academic computing positions as security sensitive.

Table 3

Higher Education Institutions Designation of Security Sensitive Positions and Whether Criminal Background Checks Are Conducted <sup>a</sup>								
	Frequer	ncy Distribution	n (N=57)	Percentage Distribution				
Position Description	Positions Exist	Perform Criminal Background Check	Positions are Security Sensitive	Positions exist (N=57)	Perform Criminal Background Check <sup>b</sup>	Positions are Security Sensitive <sup>b</sup>		
Academic computing positions	45	37	38	79%	82%	84%		
Administrative support (i.e., human resources, purchasing, shipping/receiving, inventory)	57	50	53	100%	88%	93%		
Child care positions	23	20	21	40%	87%	91%		
Counseling positions	42	31	34	74%	74%	81%		
Endowment or investment management positions	37	33	35	65%	89%	95%		
Executive positions (i.e., Chancellor, Vice Chancellors, President, and Vice Presidents)	57	45	49	100%	79%	86%		
Financial aid positions	50	41	44	88%	82%	88%		
Fiscal operation (i.e., bursar's office, payroll, controller's office, cashier's office)	57	52	55	100%	91%	96%		
Food services positions	20	16	16	35%	80%	80%		
General institutional (i.e., legal and risk mgmt.)	48	39	42	84%	81%	88%		
Information technology and data processing	56	49	50	98%	88%	89%		
Internal auditors	39	35	38	68%	90%	97%		
Laboratory support (i.e., lab technicians)	49	38	40	86%	78%	82%		
Admissions, registrar, and student records	51	41	44	89%	80%	86%		
Maintenance, custodial, grounds, security, campus police, transportation (drivers), utilities	52	51	52	91%	98%	100%		
Research positions, including research fellows	43	26	30	75%	60%	70%		
Student health services positions	39	31	33	68%	79%	85%		
Teaching, including adjuncts and assistants	50	26	28	88%	52%	56%		
Positions Unique to Health-related Institutions (N=9)								
Allied health (i.e., therapists, physician assist.)	7	7	7	78%	100%	100%		
Insurance/billing positions	8	8	8	89%	100%	100%		
Residency and clinical positions (i.e., medicine, nursing, pharmacy, and dentistry)	9	9	8	100%	100%	89%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Total surveyed = 58. One institution does not conduct criminal background checks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Percentages for "Perform Criminal Background Check" and "Positions are Security Sensitive" are based on "Positions Exist."

Chapter 2-C

# Higher Education Institutions - Criminal Background Checks on Students

The survey listed 10 academic majors that may lead to professions for which graduates could interact with children, the elderly, patients, students, or people

#### Reasons Higher Education Institutions Obtain Criminal Background Information on Students

While most higher education institutions do not have specific authority to obtain criminal history information on students, they do:

- Obtain criminal history information in cooperation with affiliated organizations used by institutions to place their students for clinical rotation or practical training.
- Obtain criminal history information in compliance with recommendations of professional organizations (for example, the Association of American Medical Colleges).
- Use criminal history information to screen out students who may not qualify for the licensing or certification requirements to practice a profession.

Source: *NACUANOTES*, National Association of College and University Attorneys, March 10, 2006, p.2.

with disabilities. These are the programs for which higher education institutions are likely to conduct criminal background checks on students. Higher education institutions were asked to indicate which majors they offer, the majors for which checks are performed, and the types of checks they perform. They were also asked to identify other majors not listed and for which they require checks. We found that:

No state statutory requirement exists for higher education institutions to conduct criminal background checks on students. Criminal background checks are performed mainly by the licensing authority for professions that require licenses, permits, or certificates that graduates of various majors may apply for (for example,

teacher certificates or licenses for nurses). Nevertheless, some higher education institutions do perform checks on students.

- Thirty-one (65 percent) of the 48 higher education institutions that have academic programs listed in the survey or identified by the respondents perform criminal background checks on students.
- Fourteen (40 percent) of the 35 institutions offering education or teacher certification programs indicated they conduct criminal background checks on teaching majors. Some higher education institution respondents indicated that it is the students' or the school districts' responsibility to obtain criminal history information.
- The fewest higher education institutions perform criminal background checks on students in programs that may not always lead to professions that require interaction with children, the elderly, patients, students, or people with disabilities (such as law and psychology). For example, not all psychology students end up working as licensed psychologists.

Higher education institutions rely on less rigorous and less costly forms of criminal background checks for students. Most checks performed are Department of Public Safety name-based checks, self-disclosure, and other checks through methods such as using private vendors and searching public information databases.

Table 4 summarizes the extent to which higher education institutions perform criminal background checks on students in various majors.

Table 4

The Majors o	Higher Education Institutions: The Majors on Which They Require Student Criminal Background Checks						
Majors Description	Whether the Institution Offers the Program (N=48) <sup>b</sup>	Number of Institutions that Indicate They Require Checks (N=48)	Percent of Institutions Requiring Checks				
Medicine	7	7	100%				
Criminal Justice, Law Enforcement, and Military <sup>a</sup>	7	7	100%				
Allied Health	29	22	76%				
Nursing	26	17	65%				
Dentistry	4	3	75%				
Pharmacy	8	4	50%				
Education/Teacher's Certification	35	14	40%				
Nutrition	13	5	38%				
Social work	23	5	22%				
Psychology	29	3	10%				
Law	5	0	0%				
Totals <sup>c</sup>	186	87					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> These majors were added to our survey by respondents

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm b}$  Of the 58 institutions surveyed, 50 have academic programs and 48 offer at least one of the majors indicated in the survey. Only 31 institutions perform the checks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Total exceeds N due to multiple responses.

Table 5 summarizes the types of criminal background checks that higher education institutions perform.

Table 5

Higher Education Institutions Types of Criminal Background Checks Performed on Students							
		Types of	Criminal Bac	kground Check	s (N=31)		
Majors <sup>a</sup>	FBI Fingerprint Check	DPS Fingerprint Check	DPS Name- based Check	Self- Disclosed Check	Other	Totals <sup>c</sup>	
Allied Health	5	5	7	7	13	37	
Dentistry			2	2	2	6	
Education/Teacher's Certification	1	2	9	5	4	21	
Criminal Justice, Law Enforcement and Military <sup>b</sup>	0	2	5	4	0	11	
Medicine	0	0	3	2	6	11	
Nursing	1	1	9	7	12	30	
Nutrition	0	0	2	3	4	9	
Pharmacy	0	0	2	2	4	8	
Psychology	0	0	1	3	2	6	
Social work	0	0	3	3	3	9	
Totals	7	10	43	38	50	148	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Law was one of the majors listed in the survey. Five institutions indicated they offer this major; however, none perform criminal background checks on law students.

#### Chapter 2-D

## State Agencies - Criminal Background Checks on Employees

For the September 2006 survey, the State Auditor's Office identified 17 types of "critical positions" for which agencies are likely to obtain criminal history information on prospective or current employees. We asked the agencies that perform employee criminal background checks to identify the types of "critical positions" they have and whether they require checks on those positions. They were also asked to add any other types of positions that were not listed, but for which they require checks.

Overall, of the 46 agencies surveyed, 21 (46 percent) indicated that they obtain criminal history information on prospective or current employees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> These majors were not listed in the survey, but they were added by institutions that offer the programs and require criminal background checks on students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Totals exceed the number of institutions that conduct criminal back ground checks (31) because of multiple responses.

Of the 21 state agencies that conduct criminal background checks on employees, 14 (67 percent) do so on all types of critical positions they identified in the survey.

Four (19 percent) of the 21 agencies that perform criminal background checks on employees indicated that they conduct checks on fewer than 40 percent of the types of critical positions they identified in the survey.<sup>10</sup>

Table 6 summarizes the extent to which state agencies perform criminal background checks on "critical positions."

Table 6

State Agencies: Positions They Have and Positions for which They Perform Criminal Background Checks **Frequency Distribution Percentage Distribution** (N=Have (N=21)(N=21)Positions) Perform Perform Type of Critical Positions **Positions** Checks **Positions** Checks 5% 100% Caseworkers, counselors 100% 6 29% Cashiers Correctional officers, security staff, 3 3 14% 100% game wardens, criminalists, park rangers Investigators 4 4 19% 100% Investment analysts, traders, portfolio 3 3 14% 100% staff Nurses, pharmacists, physicians and 5% 100% other health care professionals or workers Information technology personnel, 19 17 90% 89% including network specialists, database analysts, systems analysts 37 33 176% 89% Other positions <sup>a</sup> Internal auditors 7 8 38% 88% Laboratory research staff, 33% 86% environmental, health and safety staff, and laboratory technicians Executive director, senior executive 21 17 100% 81% officers Contract specialists 15 12 71% 80%

Detailed comparison with the February 2006 survey is not possible because the questions in the previous survey were not position-specific.

State Agencies: Positions They Have and Positions for which They Perform Criminal Background Checks						
	Frequency D	istribution	Percentage Distribution			
	(N=2	21)	(N=21)	(N=Have Positions)		
Type of Critical Positions	Have Positions	Perform Checks	Have Positions	Perform Checks		
Fiscal officers, purchasers, accounts payable staff, accountants, budget analysts	20	16	95%	80%		
Inspectors	5	4	24%	80%		
Property managers, custodians, housekeeping staff, or repair and maintenance staff	17	13	81%	76%		
General counsels, attorneys	12	9	57%	75%		
Human resource staff	19	14	90%	74%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Some agencies wrote in more than one "other" positions. For this report, those responses are combined.

#### Chapter 2-E

# Higher Education Institutions and State Agencies - Types of Criminal Background Checks They Perform

The State Auditor's Office asked agencies and higher education institutions which types of criminal background checks are being used for each type of position.

Both agencies and higher education institutions indicated that fingerprint checks, whether performed against Department of Public Safety or Federal Bureau of Investigation databases, are not widely used. Agencies and higher education institutions are relying on the less rigorous and less costly types of criminal background checks (for example, name-based checks, self-disclosure and searches of public information or proprietary databases) for employees. For comparison purposes, the February 2006 survey showed that 23 (43 percent) of 54 agencies indicated they used Federal Bureau of Investigation or Department of Public Safety fingerprint checks.

Tables 7 and 8 outline the types of criminal background checks higher education institutions and state agencies perform, by type of positions.

A Report on the Use of Criminal History Information by Texas State Agencies and Institutions of Higher Education SAO Report No. 07-009 January 2007 Page 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For the purposes of this project, requiring self-disclosure is considered one form of criminal background checks.

Table 7

Higher Education Institutions  Type of Criminal Background Checks Performed, by Positions								
		Ту	pes of Check	s (N=57)				
Type of Positions	FBI Fingerprint Check	DPS Fingerprint Check	DPS Name- based Check	Self- disclosure	Other	Totals <sup>a</sup>		
Maintenance, custodial, grounds, security, campus police, transportation (drivers), utilities	4	5	45	23	15	92		
Administrative support (i.e., human resources, purchasing, shipping/receiving, inventory)	0	0	45	23	14	82		
Fiscal operation (i.e., bursar's office, payroll, controller's office, cashier's office)	0	0	47	22	13	82		
Information technology and data processing	0	0	44	21	13	78		
Executive positions (i.e., Chancellor, Vice Chancellors, President, and Vice Presidents)	0	0	40	18	13	71		
Admissions, registrar, and student records	0	0	36	17	11	64		
Financial aid positions	0	0	36	16	11	63		
General institutional (i.e., legal and risk mgmt.)	0	0	34	15	11	60		
Laboratory support positions (i.e., lab technicians)	0	0	33	17	10	60		
Academic computing positions	0	0	32	17	10	59		
Internal auditors	0	0	30	16	10	56		
Counseling positions	0	0	26	16	8	50		
Endowment or investment management positions	0	0	29	12	9	50		
Student health services positions	0	0	26	14	9	49		
Research positions, including research fellows	1	1	22	10	10	44		
Teaching positions, including adjuncts and teaching assistants	0	0	20	10	12	42		
Child care positions	0	0	16	7	8	31		
Food services positions	0	0	14	8	6	28		
Type of Pos	Type of Positions Unique to Health-related Institutions (N=9)							
Residency and clinical positions (i.e., medicine, nursing, pharmacy, and dentistry)	0	0	8	2	4	14		
Insurance/billing positions	0	0	7	2	4	13		
Allied health (i.e., therapists, physician assist.)	0	0	6	2	3	11		
Totals	5	6	596	288	204			
<sup>a</sup> Totals exceed N because of multiple responses.								

# State Agencies Type of Criminal Background Checks Performed, by Positions

	Types of Checks (N=21)						
Type of Positions	FBI <sup>a</sup> Fingerprint Check	DPS <sup>a</sup> Fingerprint Check	DPS Name- based Check	Self Disclosure	Other		
Executive director, senior executive officers	2	3	11	6	4		
Information technology personnel, including network specialists, database analysts, systems analysts	2	1	12	7	3		
Fiscal officers, purchasers, accounts payable staff, accountants, budget analysts	1	1	11	7	3		
Human resource staff	1	1	9	6	3		
Property managers, custodians, housekeeping staff, or repair and maintenance staff	1		8	6	3		
Contract specialists	1		8	5	3		
General counsels, attorneys	1		5	5	2		
Internal auditors	1		4	4	2		
Correctional officers, security staff, game wardens, criminalists, park rangers	1	2	3	2	0		
Cashiers			4	3	2		
Laboratory research staff, environmental, health and safety staff, and laboratory technicians			5	3	1		
Investigators			2	3	2		
Investment analysts, traders, portfolio staff	1		1	2	2		
Inspectors			2	2	1		
Caseworkers, counselors				1	0		
Other	1	1	23	20	5		
Nurses, pharmacists, physicians and other health care professionals or workers				1	1		
<sup>a</sup> Six agencies use FBI and/or DPS fingerprint checks.	.1						

#### Chapter 2-F

# Higher Education Institutions and State Agencies - Criminal Background Checks on Contractors' Employees

The State Auditor's Office asked agencies and higher education institutions whether they require contractors to perform criminal background checks on their employees and the type of checks required. We found that:

- Only five state agencies and 26 higher education institutions require some or all contractors to perform criminal background checks on their employees. The majority of those that do checks use the less rigorous and less costly methods (for example, name-based checks).
- Two of the 5 agencies and none of the higher education institutions use Federal Bureau of Investigation or Department of Public Safety fingerprint criminal background checks.

Table 9 summarizes the scope of criminal background checks that state agencies and higher education institutions require contractors to perform on their employees.

Table 9

State Agencies and Higher Education Institutions Scope of Criminal Background Checks That Contractors Are Required to Conduct on Their Employees						
	Frequency <sup>a</sup> Percentage					
	Agency	Higher Education Institution	Agency	Higher Education Institution		
Scope of Background Checks	(N=46)	(N=58)	(N=5)	(N=26)		
All employees assigned to work at the agency or higher education institution	1	13	20%	50%		
Some employees assigned to work at the agency or higher education institution	4	7	80%	27%		
Other. Varies within the same institution or agency depending on internal policies of each contractor and the type of services covered by the contract.	0	6	0%	23%		
Totals	5	26	100%	100%		

Table 10 summarizes the types of criminal background checks that state agencies and higher education institutions require contractors to perform on their employees.

State Agencies and Higher Education Institutions
Types of Criminal Background Checks that Contractors Are Required to Conduct on Their Employees
Typos of of initial Background chooks that contractors his Rogalica to conduct on their Employees

	Frequ	uency	Percentage		
	Agency	Higher Education Institution	Agency	Higher Education Institution	
Type of Background Checks	(N=5)	(N=26)	(N=5)	(N=26)	
FBI fingerprint checks	2	0	40%	0%	
DPS fingerprint checks	1	0	20%	0%	
DPS name-based checks of secured databases	1	9	20%	35%	
Self-Disclosed	0	6	0%	23%	
Other/varies	2	17	40%	65%	
Totals <sup>a</sup>	6	32			
<sup>a</sup> Totals exceed N because of multiple responses.	6	32			

#### Chapter 2-G

Higher Education Institutions and State Agencies - Reasons for Not Performing Checks and Problems Encountered in Performing Checks

The State Auditor's Office asked the higher education institutions and agencies what problems, if any, they have encountered when obtaining criminal history information; and if they do not obtain criminal history data, why they do not do so. We found that:

- State agencies that do not perform criminal background checks indicated a variety of reasons for not doing so, including a lack of need or resources. Three-fifths of these agencies do not see any need for such information.
- Fifteen (65 percent) of the 23 state agencies and 26 (46 percent) of the 57 higher education institutions that obtain criminal history information indicated they encountered no problems. The remainder cited problems obtaining the information, such as lack of funding and lack of human resources.

Table 11 summarizes the reasons state agencies do not perform criminal background checks. Tables 12 and 13 summarize the problems state agencies and higher education institutions encounter when performing criminal background checks.

Table 11

# State Agencies Reasons for Not Conducting Criminal Background Checks On Employees, Contractors' Employees, and Clients <sup>a</sup>

Reasons	Frequency Distribution	Percentage Distribution (N=23)
Lack of human resources	8	34.8%
Lack of funding to perform checks	7	30.4%
Lack of statutory authority	6	26.1%
Does not need such information for employees, contractors, and clients served	14	60.9%
Other reasons	5	21.7%
Total	40	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The survey question was addressed only to the 23 agencies that indicated on the first survey question that they do not perform criminal background checks on employees, contractors and clients. Survey respondents could choose more than one reason.

Table 12

State Agencies
Problems Encountered When Obtaining Criminal History Information
On Employees, Contractors' Employees, and/or Clients <sup>a</sup>

Reasons	Frequency Distribution	Percentage Distribution (N=23)
Lack of human resources	2	9%
Lack of funding to perform checks	2	9%
Other reasons	6	26%
No problems encountered	15	65%
Total	25	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The survey question was addressed only to the 23 agencies that indicated on the first survey question that they obtain criminal history information on employees, contractors, or clients. Survey respondents could choose more than one reason.

# Higher Education Institutions Problems Encountered When Obtaining Criminal History Information On Employees, Contractors' Employees, and/or Clients <sup>a</sup>

Reasons	Frequency Distribution	Percentage Distribution (N=57 <sup>b</sup> )
Lack of human resources	12	21%
Lack of funding to perform checks	13	23%
Other reasons	21	37%
No problems encountered	26	46%
Total	72	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Survey respondents can choose more than one reason for this question.

#### Chapter 2-H

### Higher Education Institutions and State Agencies - Other Matters Related to Criminal Background Checks

The State Auditor's Office also determined the following regarding agencies' and higher education institutions' criminal background check practices:

- Virtually all higher education institutions and agencies that do criminal background checks do not set up a flag in the Department of Public Safety's Computerized Criminal History system to notify them of new arrests of any individuals on whom criminal history information has been previously obtained.<sup>12</sup>
- Seven higher education institutions and six agencies that perform checks obtain criminal history information from a non-citizen's home country.
- Thirty-eight of 55 (69 percent) higher education institutions and 11 of 20 (55 percent) agencies that do checks in-house indicated their staff received training on collecting criminal history information in the previous year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>B</sup> One institution of higher education indicated it does not obtain criminal history information because it has few employees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Only one higher education institution and one agency have flags set up.

### Agency and Higher Education Institutions: Policies and Procedures

To supplement the information obtained from the surveys, the State Auditor's Office reviewed the policies and procedures developed by agencies and higher education institutions for conducting criminal background checks. Copies of those policies and procedures were obtained from the respondents or from

#### **Policies and Procedures**

Written policies and procedures for criminal background checks help an organization:

- Facilitate the achievement of stated goals.
- Mitigate associated risks.
- Ensure consistency with applicable laws, regulations and industry standards.
- Implement policies uniformly throughout the organization.

their Web sites. Tables 14 through 16 summarize the statistics on agencies and higher education institutions that perform criminal background checks and those that have related policies and procedures. Appendix 5 summarizes the typical elements contained in those policies and procedures.

#### Overall, we found that:

- Forty-nine (86 percent) of the 57 higher education institutions have written policies and procedures and/or other informational publications regarding criminal background checks on prospective and current employees.
- Of the 31 higher education institutions that perform criminal background checks on students, only 17 (55 percent) have applicable policies and procedures and/or informational materials.
- Seventeen (74 percent) of the 23 agencies that conduct checks on employees, contractors, or clients have developed applicable policies and procedures.

Table 14

Higher Education Institutions - Employees									
Population	Total Higher Education Institutions								
Total institutions surveyed	58								
Total institutions requiring criminal background checks on prospective and/or current employees	57								
Total institutions having policies and procedures for employee criminal background checks	49								

#### Table 15

Higher Education Institutions - Students								
Population	Total Higher Education Institutions							
Total institutions surveyed	58							
Total institutions that have students	50							
Total institutions that offer at least one of the majors listed in the survey that may likely require student criminal background checks	48							
Total institutions that perform criminal background checks on students in certain majors	31							
Total institutions that have policies and procedures for student criminal background checks	17							

#### Table 16

State Agencies - Employees								
Population	Total Agencies							
Total agencies surveyed	46							
Total agencies conducting criminal background checks on employees, contractors, or clients	23							
Total agencies that have policies and procedures for conducting criminal background checks	17							

## Benchmarking Texas Statutes with Those in Neighboring States

The State Auditor's Office reviewed statutes of Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico and Oklahoma to determine how Texas statutes compare in terms of

#### Benchmarking Texas with Neighboring States

The purpose of this section is to provide information on how Texas compares to four neighboring states regarding the statutory authority provided to agencies and other entities to access criminal history information or perform background checks.

#### Limitations on Benchmarking

The results of this benchmarking are limited due to:

- The variability and complexity of statutory construction.
- The specificity of statutory language regarding the type of check to be performed and on whom.
- Differences in terminology (for example, among professions).
- Differences among the states in administering programs.

Additional research may be necessary to confirm certain conclusions or practices that have been identified through this research.

authorizing state agencies, higher education institutions, and other entities to access criminal history information and perform criminal background checks.

Overall, we found that Texas statutes that authorize or require the collection of criminal history information are more explicit and comprehensive than the statutes of the neighboring states in all areas reviewed.

#### **Child Welfare Statutes**

With regard to statutes pertaining to child welfare, Texas's statutes are more explicit and comprehensive for identifying the type of checks required and on whom they must be conducted (see Table 17). Only New Mexico's statutes are similar to Texas.<sup>13</sup>

Table 17

Neighboring State Comparison Comparison of Statutory Authority or Requirement to Obtain Criminal History Information Child Welfare, Foster Care, Adoption, and Day Care										
Texas Arkansas Louisiana New Mexico Oklahoma										
	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.
N=State name-based check; S=State fingerprint check; F=Federal (FBI) fingerprint check; T=Type not specified, but check authorized/required.)										erprint
			Child Well	fare (Gene	eral) <sup>a</sup>					
Applicants	F		F		T		F			
Volunteers	F		F		Т		F			
Facility Owners	F		F				F			
	Foster Care									
Agency Employees <sup>b</sup>	F		F				F			
Facility Operators / Owners / Employees	F		F				F		F <sup>c</sup>	
Foster Parents	F		F		F		F		F	

The letters in all the tables in this section indicate the highest level of check required or authorized.

# Neighboring State Comparison Comparison of Statutory Authority or Requirement to Obtain Criminal History Information Child Welfare, Foster Care, Adoption, and Day Care

	Te	xas	Arkansas		Louisiana		New Mexico		Oklahoma	
	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.
					ate finge check a				FBI) finge	erprint
Other Persons in Home	F		F		F		F		F <sup>d</sup>	
			Ac	loption						
State Agency Employees b	F		F				F			
Adoptive Parents	F		F		F		F		F	
Other Household Members	F		F <sup>e</sup>		F		F		F <sup>f</sup>	
			Child	Day Care						
Center Employees	F						F		Т	
Group Care Employees	F					Т	F		T	
Family Home Employees	F					Т	F		T	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Child Welfare (General) means overall care for a child in one of a number of facilities.

#### **Adult Care Statutes**

Texas statutes are also the most comprehensive and explicit for identifying the type of check required in the area of adult care (see Table 18). Arkansas's and Oklahoma's statutes are similar to Texas laws in this area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Criminal background checks required of agency employees who have direct contact with agency clients.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> If a national fingerprint check has been performed in the past five years, only a state fingerprint check is required.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> If the person is a resident for less than a year, a national check is required; if longer than a year, a state fingerprint check is required.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Required for persons in the household over 16 years of age.

f A name-based check is performed immediately; within 5 days a state and national fingerprint background check is required.

#### **Neighboring State Comparison** Comparison of Statutory Authority or Requirement to Obtain Criminal History Information **Adult Care New Mexico** Oklahoma Arkansas Louisiana Req. Auth. Req. Auth. Req. Auth. Req. Auth. Req. Auth. (N=State name-based check; S=State fingerprint check; F=Federal (FBI) fingerprint check; T=Type not specified, but check authorized/required.) Adult Long Term Care s a F F State Agency Employees Long Term Care Facilities F F Applicants / Employers / s a Operators Volunteers F F s a F F s a **Temporaries Home Health Care Employers** F s a F F Т F **Employees** F Volunteers Physical/Mental Disabilities F ғ b State Agency Employees s a Applicants, Employers, F F Т F s a Operators s a F F Т Contract Staffing F s a Volunteers F F

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Oklahoma Revised Statutes, Title 63, Article 19, Section 1950.1, references "any records maintained by the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation."

b If the person is a resident for less than 5 years, a national check is required, otherwise a state fingerprint check is required.

#### **Public Schools**

Texas statutes also compare favorably regarding the specificity of statutory citations for instructional and non-instructional positions at public schools (see Table 19).

Table 19

Neighboring State Comparison Comparison of Statutory Authority or Requirement to Obtain Criminal History Information										
Public Schools										
	Te	xas	Arka	insas	Louis	siana	New Mexico		Oklahoma	
	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.
	(N=Stat	e name- rint chec	based ch k; T=Typ	eck; S=S be not sp	tate finge ecified, l	erprint cl out chec	heck; F= k author	Federal ized/req	(FBI) uired.)	
		Public	Schools -	Non-Instr	ructional					
Employees		F	F		F <sup>a</sup>		F			F
Administrators		F			F		F			F
Principals		F			F		F			F
Fiscal Officers		F	F							F
Bus Drivers/Monitors/Aides		F	F		F		F			F
Librarians		F			F		F			F
Counselors		F			F		F			F
Volunteers		F	F							
Contractors		F					F			
		Pub	lic School	s - Instruc	tional					
Teachers		F	F		F		F		F <sup>b</sup>	
Substitute Teachers		F	F		F		F			F
Teacher Interns		F			F					
Teacher Trainees		F			F					
Educational Aides		F			F					F
Fine Arts Program Instructors		F			F		F			F

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Employees reasonably expected to be placed in a position of supervisory or disciplinary authority over school children.

#### Private Schools, Charter Schools and Institutions of Higher Education

Texas statutes are also more explicit and comprehensive pertaining to checks for private and charter schools in comparison to those of our neighboring states (see Table 20). Regarding higher education institutions, two items that may need further clarification are the higher education institutions' ability to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Oklahoma Revised Statutes, Title 70, Article 6, Section 190(B)(6), requires that beginning July 1, 2004, a temporary teaching certificate can be issued until background check is completed.

designate all positions as "security sensitive" (see also Chapter 2-B) and criminal background check requirements for nursing students or for students in other health-related professions.

Table 20

#### **Neighboring State Comparison** Comparison of Statutory Authority or Requirement to Obtain Criminal History Information for Selected Positions Private Schools, Charter Schools, and Higher Education New Mexico d Oklahoma d **Arkansas** Louisiana Auth. Req. Auth. Req. Auth. Req. Auth. Req. Req. Auth. (N=State name-based check; S=State fingerprint check; F=Federal (FBI) fingerprint check; T=Type not specified, but check authorized/required.) **Private Schools Employees** F F<sup>a</sup> F F F F Bus Drivers/Monitors/Aides Volunteers F F F Contractors Commercial Transportation F b F **Employees** Charter Schools Any Person Principal to the School Proposal Governing Body F F **Employees** F Bus Driver, Monitors, Aides F F F Volunteers F Contractors F F F **Commercial Transportation** F a **Employees Higher Education** All Employees F Security Sensitive Positions F <sup>C</sup> **Nursing Students** Τ F Students in Allied Health Т **Programs**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Employees reasonably expected to be placed in a position of supervisory or disciplinary authority over school children.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Commercial Transportation Companies contract with schools to transport goods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Defined by the Texas Government Code, Section 411.094. See Chapter 2-B for definition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Explicit statutory authority for higher education institutions was not identified in Oklahoma and New Mexico; however, criminal background check requirements were identified on the Web sites of selected higher education institutions in each of those states.

#### Various Professions

Compared to neighboring states, Texas statutes are more comprehensive for providing authority to perform checks on many professions (see Table 21). An item for statutory clarification is the authority to perform checks on professions not explicitly referenced, such as community service workers, personal care assistants, or home health aides.

Table 21

Comparison of Statutory A	uthority or	Requirer	nent to (	tate Com Obtain Cr Professic	iminal Hi	istory Inf	formatio	n for Sele	ected Po	sitions	
	Те	xas	Arka	ansas	Louis	siana	New Mexico		Okla	homa	
	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	Req.	Auth.	
		(N=State name-based check; S=State fingerprint check; F=Federal (FBI) fingerprint check; T=Type not specified, but check authorized/required.)									
		Не	ealth-Rela	ted Profes	sions						
Community Services Workers									Т		
Personal Care Assistants			F		Т				S		
Home Health Aides			F		Т				S		
Health Care Practitioners					F						
Medical-Physicians		F	F		F		F				
Pharmacists		S	F						S		
Registered Nurses		F	F		F		F		Т		
Practical Nurses		F	F				F		Т		
Nurse Aides		F <sup>a</sup>			Т				Т		
Dentists		S			Т						
Dental Hygienists		S			Т						
		Ot	her Selec	ted Profes	sions						
Attorneys		F		F			F		F		
Hazardous Waste Facility Operators		S					F				
Firemen		F <sup>b</sup>				F <sup>b</sup>	F				
Law Enforcement <sup>c</sup>		F	I c		Т		F				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Facilities are required to conduct the background checks on nurse aides in Texas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> In Texas and Louisiana, this includes municipal and volunteer firemen. In Louisiana, it also includes parish firemen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> New Mexico Statutes require Federal Bureau of Investigation criminal background checks for state patrol applicants.

The following items are presented for further consideration:

- Overall, the higher education institutions and agencies with explicit statutory authority are performing criminal background checks on employees. This is positive because it mitigates these organizations' risk exposure and decreases the possibility of a person with questionable background accessing cash, sensitive data, or people needing protection (such as patients, minors, or the elderly). The Legislature may wish to consider whether explicit authority to access criminal history information should be extended to other agencies that currently do not have such authority.
- The broad statutory definition of the term "security sensitive positions" in Texas Government Code, Section 411.094, has resulted in many higher education institutions designating all positions at their institutions as security sensitive. The Legislature may wish to consider reviewing this definition to determine whether higher education institutions are performing the checks intended by the Legislature and whether the definition should be redefined.
- The Legislature may wish to consider reviewing criminal background check requirements on state contractors and students in certain majors (such as nursing students) and whether authority to access criminal history records should be extended.
- The Legislature may wish to consider whether there should be an explicit statutory requirement that criminal background checks be performed on certain professions such as community service workers, personal care assistants, or home health aides.
- The higher education institutions and agencies that have not adopted policies and procedures specific to criminal background checks may wish to consider reviewing the common elements that would constitute "best practices" for performing checks on employees, students, or clients (see Appendix 5 for the common elements).

It is important to note that these items and the other information in this report are based on self-reported data and literature review. The survey data has not been verified. Further study on criminal background check procedures may be needed to identify specific weaknesses in actual practices.

# **Appendices**

Appendix 1

## Objective, Scope, and Methodology

### Objective

The objective of this project was to provide descriptive information about the current authority and practices for performing criminal background checks conducted by state agencies and higher education institutions and, when applicable, to identify items for consideration.

Sub-objectives included the following:

- Describe the authority and practices of performing criminal background checks among Texas state agencies and higher education institutions using data collected through surveys.
- Review pertinent policies and procedures developed by the higher education institutions and agencies to determine common themes and identify best practices consistent with applicable statutes, industry standards, and other requirements.
- Benchmark Texas statutes that authorize or require the performance of criminal background checks with the statutes of four neighboring states.

#### Scope

Scope for the Surveys and Review of Policies and Procedures

The two surveys and review of policies and procedures covered 58 higher education institutions and 46 agencies not included in a previous State Auditor's Office survey.

The higher education institution population consisted of 35 academic universities, 9 health-related institutions, 7 system offices, and 7 state and technical colleges.

Because the project focused on the executive branch of the state government, the following agencies were excluded from the survey:

- All legislative agencies except the State Auditor's Office.
- All state courts.
- Agencies surveyed or audited in February 2006, including those administratively under another agency that was surveyed (for example, all state schools and state hospitals).

• Agencies with fewer than 10 full-time equivalent (FTE) employees.

The agency population included seven service institutions affiliated with the Texas A&M University System; these institutions were asked to complete the agency survey because of their non-academic functions. Six trusteed agencies and councils were jointly surveyed with their related agencies and, therefore, not included in the total count of agencies surveyed.

#### Scope of the Benchmarking of Statutes

The scope of the benchmarking effort included the statutory requirements of Texas and four neighboring states: Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and New Mexico

### Methodology

This project was descriptive-normative in nature. In addition to collecting descriptive information about the current authority and practices for performing criminal background checks, the project benchmarked Texas statutes on criminal background checks with the statutory authority of the four neighboring states and identified certain items for consideration, where appropriate.

Procedures and analyses included the following:

- Performed literature review.
- Surveyed state agencies and higher education institutions about their current authority and practices for performing and using criminal background checks.
- Benchmarked Texas statutes on criminal background checks with statutes of four neighboring states.
- Reviewed pertinent policies and procedures developed by agencies and higher education institutions to determine (1) common practices and (2) consistency with applicable statutes, industry standards, and other requirements.

Survey procedures included the following:

- Designed and administered two separate online surveys, one for higher education institutions and the other for agencies, in September 2006.
- Reviewed survey responses automatically entered into a Microsoft Access database for consistency and completeness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Questions in the two surveys were constructed similarly to provide comparisons between agencies and higher education institutions. The surveys differed slightly due to the different functions, organizations, and populations served.

- Followed up on inconsistent and incomplete answers and manually corrected answers in the database.
- Analyzed data (mostly nominal or categorical) using frequency and percentage distributions.
- Compared results with the results of the February 2006 survey, when applicable

The steps for reviewing agency and higher education institution policies and procedures included the following:

- Developed three model checklists of policies and procedures for performing and using criminal background checks: (1) agency policies and procedures for employee/client checks, (2) higher education institution policies and procedures for employee checks, and (2) higher education institution policies and procedures for student checks. Each checklist contains the elements of policies and procedures that may constitute best practices, such as purposes and specific procedure steps for conducting criminal background checks.
- To ensure consistency of the model checklists with statutes and industry standards, the checklists were developed based on statutory guidelines and standards or recommendations made by accrediting and professional organizations.
- Reviewed each agency's and higher education institution's policies and procedures and other published informational materials against the appropriate checklist to identify elements common to these organizations.
- Finalized the three sets of best-practice policies and procedures for performing checks.

The procedure for benchmarking the Texas statutory authority with the statutory authority of the four neighboring states (Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and New Mexico) included the following:

- For neighboring states, used statutory citations from <u>Criminal</u>
   <u>Background Checks for Non-Criminal Justice Purposes: National</u>
   <u>Overview</u> to establish baseline statutory references for each state.
- Performed two online statutory searches on each of the four states, using the terms "background check" and "criminal history." <sup>15</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Certain limitations exist due to the variety of terms used by the states. For example, certain statutes referenced terms such as "investigations" or "checks." For purposes of this comparison, a judgment was made regarding whether to interpret these cites as background check statutes.

- Reviewed all statutory citations for relevancy and downloaded the statutes.
- Reviewed, extracted, and grouped appropriate references into a matrix.

### **Project Information**

This project started in April 2006 and ended in Dec. 2006. This project was a review; therefore, the information in this report was not subjected to all the tests and confirmations that would be performed in an audit.

The following members of the State Auditor's staff participated in the project:

- Carmelita Lacar, CIA, CGAP (Project Manager)
- Julie Leung (Assistant Project Manager)
- Dennis Ray Bushnell, CPA (Quality Control Reviewer)
- Daniel Wattles, MPAff, CPM (Manager)

## Copy of Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information

Below is the survey instrument the State Auditor's Office used to survey higher education institutions in September 2006.

	Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information				
D 61 111 61	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Criminal history detentions, indi- Criminal backgro	Definition of terms: For the purpose of this survey,  Criminal history record information (or criminal history information) refers to records pertaining to an individual's arrests, detentions, indictments, and other formal criminal charges and their dispositions.  Criminal background check refers to the process of collecting criminal history information for the purpose of ascertaining whether an individual is eligible to obtain or retain services/employment.				
	stitution obtain criminal history record information (criminal history information) on employment applicants, ulty or staff), students, or other individuals?				
	Yes				
	No				
2. Who obtains	criminal history information for your institution? Select all that apply.				
	Designated human resource staff				
	Campus police department				
	Designated staff at hiring department				
	Another department in your institution. Please specify:				
	Other. Please specify:				
	Third-party vendor. Please specify: (skip question 2a if checking ONLY this option)				
	No staff designated for obtaining criminal history information. (skip question 2a)				
2a. Approximat information las	ely how many hours of training did each of your designated staff receive on how to collect criminal history tyear?				
	0 hours per employee				
	1-4 hours per employee				
	5-8 hours per employee				
	9 hours or more per employee				
	I'm skipping this question				
3. Which of the	following statements about security-sensitive positions applies to your institution?				
	All positions are considered security				
	Only selected positions are considered security				

### Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information

The following questions pertain to criminal background checks performed on employees, students, and contractors.

- 4. We are interested in knowing whether you perform criminal background checks for positions or on students. For each item listed below, please indicate:
- a) whether your institution has these positions/offers the specified major;
- b) whether criminal background checks are performed on these employees/students; and
- c) whether positions are considered security-sensitive.

Many survey questions on subsequent pages will depend on your responses here.

#### **Employees by Category & Position**

NOTE: You may ignore the third column ("Positions are considered security-sensitive") if answering "All positions..." to Question 3.

NOTE. Tournay ignore the third column ( Fositions are considered security-sensitive) in answering. An positions to edestion 5.						
Institutional Support						
Position	Institution has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed	Positions are considered security-sensitive			
Executive positions (e.g., Chancellor, Vice Chancellors, President, and Vice Presidents)						
Fiscal operation positions, including those at bursar's office, payroll, controller's office, cashier's office						
Internal auditors						
Administrative support positions (such as human resources, purchasing, shipping/receiving, inventory control)						
Endowment or investment management positions						
General institutional positions (such as legal and risk management)						
Information technology & data processing positions						
Academic						
Position	Institution has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed	Positions are considered security-sensitive			
Teaching positions, including adjuncts and teaching assistants						
Research positions, including research fellows						
Academic Support						
Position	Institution has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed	Positions are considered security-sensitive			
Academic computing positions						
Laboratory support positions (e.g., lab. technicians)						

Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information						
Student Se	ervices					
	Position	Institution has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed		Positions are considered security-sensitive	
Financial a	id positions			]		
Positions in	n admissions, registrar, and student records			]		
Counseling	positions			]		
Student he	ealth services positions			]		
Food service	ces positions			]		
Child care	positions			]		
Plant Oper	ration/Maintenance					
	Position	Institution has at least one of these positions	least one of these background checks		Positions are considered security-sensitive	
	n maintenance, custodial, grounds, security, including lice, transportation (drivers), utilities					
Students b	oy Major					
	Major		Institution offers this major		Criminal Background checks are performed	
Nursing	Nursing					
Medicine						
Pharmacy						
Dentistry						
Nutrition						
Allied Heal	lth*					
Education/	Teacher's Certification					
Social work	K					
Psychology	1					
Law						
Other majo	or requiring criminal background checks:					
Other majo	or requiring criminal background checks:					
Other majo	or requiring criminal background checks:					
*Including but not limited to medical technology, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, and physician's assistant.						
5. Does your institution require contractors for outsourced services to perform criminal background checks on their employees? (Outsourcing means the practice of contracting with an outside entity, called the contractor, to provide a service or product that otherwise would be provided by the institution internally.)						
	Yes, on all employees the contractor assigns to provide the	contracted services.				
	Yes, but not on all employees assigned to provide the contracted services.					
	Other. Please Specify:					
	No, contractors are not required to perform criminal backgr services.	ound checks on their emp	loyees assign	ned to provi	de the contracted	

#### Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information The following questions pertain to the employee positions and/or student majors for which you indicated your institution conducts criminal background checks. 6. On the table below, please indicate each type of criminal background check that is conducted on employees within each position. Select all that apply. Institutional Support Type of Criminal Background Check Performed Selfreported by Namethe Party State Fingerprint based Concerned Check Search (e.g., through through employee, FBI Dept. of Dept. of employment Other applicants, Fingerprint Public Public (Please Position Check Safety Safety etc.) specify) Executive positions (e.g., Chancellor, Vice Chancellors, President, and Vice Presidents) Fiscal operation positions, including those at bursar's office, payroll, controller's office, cashier's office Internal auditors П Administrative support positions (such as human resources, П purchasing, shipping/receiving, inventory control) Endowment or investment management positions General institutional positions (such as legal and risk П П П management) Information technology & data processing positions **Academic** Type of Criminal Background Check Performed Selfreported by the Party State Name-Fingerprint based Concerned Search Check (e.g., through through employee, FBI Other Dept. of Dept. of employment Fingerprint Public applicants, Public (Please Position Check Safety Safety etc.) specify) Academic computing positions Laboratory support positions (e.g., lab. technicians)

Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information						
Student	Services					<del>-</del>
		Type of Criminal Background Check Performed				
	Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name- based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self- reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)
Financia	I aid positions					
Positions	s in admissions, registrar, and student records					
Counseli	ng positions					
Student	health services positions					
Food ser	vices positions					
Child car	re positions					
Plant Op	peration/Maintenance					
		Type of Criminal Background Check Performed				
	Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name- based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self- reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)
	s in maintenance, custodial, grounds, security, g campus police, transportation (drivers), utilities					
Please note any exceptions regarding criminal background check practices for any positions listed above:						
7.When apply.)	does your institution perform criminal background	checks on pros	pective and cu	ırrent emplo	yees? (Select all	that
	When an applicant is considered for a regular/contra	act/temporary	position requiri	ng a criminal	background che	ck.
	Before an employee is transferred from a position not requiring criminal background check to a regular/contract/temporary position that requires a criminal background check.					
	After it is determined that criminal background data regular/contract/temporary position that requires a			ined for a cur	rent employee ii	n a
П	Other, Please specify:					

#### Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information 8. On the table below, please indicate (a) each type of criminal background check that is conducted for each major (select all that apply), as well as (b) when such checks are performed. Students by Major (a) Type of Criminal Background Check Performed Name-State based Fingerprint Search Check through Selfthrough Dept. FBI Dept. of of Reported Other (b) When is the check Fingerprint **Public** Public by (Please Student Major Check Safety Safety specify) performed? Nursing П П Medicine Pharmacy Dentistry For each major, respondents Nutrition were asked to select one of the following: Allied Health\* Before Admission to Education/Teacher's Certification П П П П Degree Program Before Enrollment in First Social work Clinical/Practicum Course Psychology Before Internship Law П П Other П Other Other П П П Please note any exceptions regarding criminal background check practices on any majors listed above: 9. For each category, how often does the institution repeat criminal background checks on the same employee? (A year equals a period of any 12 consecutive months.) Each Time the Individual's Only After Institution Position or Job Other. At least Less than once Responsibilities **Becomes Aware** (Please No repeat once a year of an Arrest Category Change specify): checks a year Institutional Support Academic Academic Support Student Services

**Plant** 

Operation/Maintenance

	Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information							
	10. How often does your institution repeat criminal background checks on the same student? (A year equals any period of 12 consecutive months.)							
	At least once a year							
	Less than once a year							
	Each time student char	nges major						
	Only when the instituti	on is made awa	are of an arrest					
	Other. Please specify:							
	No repeat checks on stu	udents						
	type of conviction(s) or cution? (Select all that a		dication(s) cou	ld prevent an i	ndividual fron	n obtaining or re	taining employr	nent with
Employees	s by Category of Position	1						
	Type of Disqualifying Convictions/Deferred Adjudications							
	Category	Felony of 1st degree	Felony of 2nd degree	Felony of 3rd degree	State Jail Felony	Misdemeanor	Deferred Adjudication	No specific guideline.**
Institution	al Support							
Academic								
Academic	Support							
Student Se	ervices							
Plant Oper	ration/Maintenance							
** Institution	on evaluates criminal his	tory record on	a case-by-case	basis in relation	n to job respor	nsibilities. (Please	e explain below.)	l
11a. If answering "No specific guideline" to any position category, please explain the factors you consider in evaluating the relevance of an applicant's/employee's criminal history record to job responsibilities (e.g., type of offense, age when offense was committed, time elapsed since conviction/deferred adjudication, etc.). Or enter additional comments regarding disqualifying convictions or deferred adjudications by employees in the following text box.								

### Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information

12. What type of conviction(s) or deferred adjudication(s) could prevent a student from admission to/continuing in each program? Select all that apply.

Select all that apply.							
Students by Major							
	Type of Disqualifying Convictions/Deferred Adjudications						
Major	Felony of 1st degree	Felony of 2nd degree	Felony of 3rd degree	State Jail Felony	Misdemeanor	Deferred Adjudication	No specific guideline**
Nursing							
Medicine							
Pharmacy							
Dentistry							
Nutrition							
Allied Health*							
Education/Teacher's Certification							
Social work							
Psychology							
Law							
Other							
Other							
Other							
*Including but not limited to medic	03			13.1			
**Institution evaluates criminal hist	ory record on a	case-by-case b	oasis in relation	to the degree	programs. (Pleas	se explain below	.)
12a. If answering "No specific guideline" to any major, please explain the factors you consider in evaluating the relevance of a student's criminal history record to the degree program. (e.g., type of offense, age when offense was committed, time elapsed since conviction/deferred adjudication, etc.). Or enter additional comments regarding disqualifying convictions or deferred adjudications by students in the following text box.							

	Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information			
The following t	hree questions pertain to criminal background checks related to contractors for outsourced services.			
13. What type( apply.)	s) of criminal background checks does your institution require contractors to perform? (Please check all that			
	FBI fingerprint check			
	State fingerprint check through the Department of Public Safety			
	Name based search through the Department of Public Safety			
	Self-reported by the contractor's employee			
	Other. Please specify:			
	does your institution require contractors to repeat criminal background checks on the same employees assigned contracted services? (A year equals a period of any 12 consecutive months.)			
	At least once a year			
	Less than once a year			
	Each time the contract is renewed			
	Only when the contractor becomes aware of a subsequent arrest of the employee			
	No repeat criminal background check is required			
	Other. Please specify:			
	contract, what type of conviction(s) or deferred adjudication(s) could prevent a contractor's employee from r institution? (Check all that apply)			
	Felony of 1st degree			
	Felony of 2nd degree			
	Felony of 3rd degree			
	State Jail Felony			
	Misdemeanor			
	Deferred Adjudication			
	No specific guideline.**			
**Institution eva	lluates criminal history record on a case-by-case basis in relation to job responsibilities. (Please explain below.)			
history record time elapsed si	ng "No specific guideline", please explain the factors you consider in evaluating the relevance of the criminal of a contractor's employee to the job responsibilities (e.g., type of offense, age when offense was committed, nce conviction/deferred adjudication, etc.). Or enter additional comments regarding disqualifying convictions or ications by contractors' employees in the following text box.			

Survey of Institutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information						
The following q	The following questions pertain to criminal background checks on employees, students, and/or contractors.					
	set up in the Department of Public Safety's (DPS) Computerized Criminal History system that will notify your ew arrests of any individuals on whom criminal history information has been previously obtained?					
	Yes					
	No (skip Question 16a)					
16a. If Yes to Capply)	duestion 16, how does your institution follow up on the information received on new arrests? (Check all that					
	FBI fingerprint check					
	State fingerprint check through Department of Public Safety					
	Name-based search through Department of Public Safety					
	Check with individual to whom information pertains					
	Other. Please specify:					
	Do not follow up					
17. For an individual's hor	vidual who is not a U.S. citizen, does your institution also obtain criminal history information from the ne country?					
	Yes					
	No					
18. What probl	em(s), if any, have you encountered when obtaining criminal history information? Select all that apply.					
	Lack of human resources					
	Lack of funding to perform checks					
	Other. Please specify:					
	No problems encountered					

	Survey of I	nstitutions of Higher Education: Criminal History Record Information				
Texas E		od to obtain criminal history information under Texas Government Code, Section 411.094, and 51.215. Please identify other authority/requirements, if any, for obtaining criminal history y.)				
	No other authority/requi	rement				
0	other Texas statutes:	1. Texas Code, Chapter, Section         2. Texas Code, Chapter, Section         3 (for non-codified sections)				
	Federal statutes:	1. U.S. Code Title, Section  2. U.S. Code Title, Section  3. U.S. Code Title, Section				
F	Federal regulations:	1. Code of Federal Regulations, Title, Section  2. Code of Federal Regulations, Title, Section  3. Code of Federal Regulations, Title, Section				
	Requirement(s) by n accrediting entity:	Please specify the name of the accrediting entity and the specific requirements, including chapters and/or sections:				
Other	authority/requirement:	Please specify chapters and/or sections:				
20. Plea		by of your written policies and procedures for obtaining criminal history information, if				
	Available online	Available online at (Please provide a specific URL, not the home page of your institution.)				
	Will email a cop	by to research@sao.state.tx.us				
		Will mail a copy to: State Auditor's Office, Attn: Audit Research and Legislative Coordination, P. O. Box 12067, Austin, TX 78711-2067				
	Do not have wri	tten policies and procedures				
21. Plea above.	ase provide any other co	mments or feedback about the practice of criminal background checks that is not addressed				

# Copy of Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information

Below is the survey instrument the State Auditor's Office used to survey state agencies in September 2006.

#### Definition of terms: For the purpose of this survey, Criminal history record information (criminal history information) refers to records pertaining to an individual's arrests, detentions, indictments, and other formal criminal charges and their dispositions. Criminal background check refers to the process of collecting criminal history for the purpose of ascertaining whether an individual is eligible to obtain or retain services/employment. Outsourcing means the practice of contracting with an outside entity, called the contractor, to provide a service or product that otherwise would be provided by the agency internally. 1. Does your agency obtain criminal history information on any of the following individuals? Individuals Yes No Prospective and/or current employees Employees of contractors for outsourced services Clients served 2. Who obtains criminal history information for your agency? Select all that apply. Designated human resource staff П Designated staff at hiring department Another department in your agency. Please specify: Other. Please specify: Third-party vendor. Please specify: \_ (skip question 2a if checking ONLY this option) No staff designated for obtaining criminal history information. (skip guestion 2a) 2a. Approximately how many hours of training did your designated staff receive on how to collect criminal history information last year? 0 hours per employee П 1-4 hours per employee 5-8 hours per employee 9 hours or more per employee П I'm skipping this question

Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information

Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information  3. Your agency is authorized to obtain criminal history information under Texas Government Code, Section 411.1405. Please identify other authority/requirements, if any, for obtaining criminal history information. (Enter all that apply.)    No other authority/requirement
No other authority/requirements   No other authority/requirement
Other Texas statutes:       1. Texas Code, Chapter, Section         2. Texas Code, Chapter, Section         3 (for non-codified sections)         Texas Administrative Code         1. Texas Administrative Code Title, Section         2. Texas Administrative Code Title, Section
2. Texas Code, Chapter, Section  3 (for non-codified sections)  Texas Administrative Code  1. Texas Administrative Code Title, Section  2. Texas Administrative Code Title, Section
3 (for non-codified sections)  Texas Administrative Code  1. Texas Administrative Code Title, Section  2. Texas Administrative Code Title, Section
Texas Administrative Code 1. Texas Administrative Code Title, Section  2. Texas Administrative Code Title, Section
2. Texas Administrative Code Title, Section
3. Texas Administrative Code Title, Section
Federal statutes: 1. U.S. Code Title, Section
2. U.S. Code Title , Section
3. U.S. Code Title, Section
Federal regulations: 1. Code of Federal Regulations, Title, Section
2. Code of Federal Regulations, Title, Section
3. Code of Federal Regulations, Title, Section
Other authority/requirement: Please specify chapters and/or sections:

	Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information						
	The following questions pertain to criminal background	d checks performed on empl	oyees.				
4. When do	pes your agency perform criminal background checks on prospe	ctive and current employees	s? (Select all that apply.)				
	When an employment applicant is considered for a position requiring a criminal background check.						
	Before an employee is transferred from a position not requiring a criminal background check.	a criminal background check t	to a position that requires				
	After it is determined that criminal history information has not be regular/contract/temporary position that requires a criminal back	een previously obtained for a ckground check.	current employee in a				
	Other. Please specify:						
<ol> <li>We are interested in knowing the positions for which your agency performs criminal background checks.</li> <li>In the table below, please indicate:</li> <li>Which positions exist in your agency, and</li> <li>Whether criminal background checks are performed on these employees</li> <li>Many survey questions on subsequent pages will depend on your responses here.</li> </ol>							
	by Category of Critical Position						
Executive  Agency has at least one of these positions  Oriminal background checks are performed							
Executive of	director, senior executive officers						
Other:							
Other:							
Those with	extensive authority to commit the financial resources of the a	gency					
	Position Agency has at least one of these positions Criminal background checks are performed						
Fiscal officanalysts	ers, purchasers, accounts payable staff, accountants, budget						
Contract sp	pecialists						
Investment	analysts, traders, portfolio staff						
Other:							
Other:							
Those with	access to cash						
	Position	Agency has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed				
Cashiers							
Other:							
Other:	Other:						
Internal au	diting						
	Position	Agency has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed				
Internal au	ditors						
Other:							
Other:							

Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information						
Those with access to personnel information						
Position	Agency has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed				
Human resource staff						
Other:						
Other:						
Decision makers on legal issues						
Position	Agency has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed				
General counsels, attorneys						
Judges						
Other:						
Other:						
Those ensuring legal, regulatory, and other compliance						
Position	Agency has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed				
Inspectors						
Investigators						
Other:						
Other:						
Law enforcement						
Position	Agency has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed				
Correctional officers, security staff, game wardens, criminalists, park rangers						
Other:						
Other:						
Those with access to key secured data						
Position	Agency has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed				
Information technology personnel, including network specialists, database analysts, systems analysts						
Other:						
Other:						
Those with direct contact with at-risk individuals (e.g., minors, senior citiz	zens, or people with disabili	ities)				
Position	Agency has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed				
Caseworkers, counselors						
Other:						
Other:						

Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information					
Those with direct responsibility for the care/safety of individuals					
Position	Agency has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed			
Nurses, pharmacists, physicians and other health care professionals or workers					
Other:					
Other:					
Those holding master keys or who have direct access/ responsibility for sta	te property of a significant	value			
Position	Agency has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed			
Property managers, custodians, housekeeping staff, or repair and maintenance staff					
Other:					
Other:					
Those with direct access to controlled substances or bio-hazardous/toxic m	naterials				
Position	Agency has at least one of these positions	Criminal background checks are performed			
Laboratory research staff, environmental, health and safety staff, and laboratory technicians					
Other:					
Other:					
If your agency conducts criminal background checks on positions within a category(ies) and position(s) below. We may be following up with additional		lease list those			

Survey of State	Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information					
The following question pertains to criminal bac	ckground checks	performed on cli	ents.			
6. We are interested in knowing the type of cli For each category of clients listed below, pleat 1. Whether your agency serves that category of 2. The specific type of clients your agency serve 3. Whether you perform background checks or Many survey questions on subsequent pages with	se indicate: of clients, ves, and n that category of	f clients.		k <b>ground</b> checks.		
Clients Served by Category	i	1		,		
Category of Clients the Agency Serves	Agency serves this category of clients.	(e.g., the el	fy the type of cli ectrician's licens of Licensing and	e applicants	Criminal background checks are performed	
Applicants for new license/certificate/permit						
Current holder of license/certificate/permit applying for renewal						
Clients applying for services (e.g., legal services)						
Other:						
Other:						
The following questions pertain to the employechecks.						
7. On the table below, please indicate each typosition. Select all that apply.	pe of criminal ba	ckground check	that is conducted	d on employees	within each	
Executive						
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	eck Performed		
Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name-based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)	

Executive director, senior executive officers

Other

Other

Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information					
Those with extensive authority to commit the	financial resourc	ces of the agency			_
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	neck Performed	
Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name-based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)
Fiscal officers, purchasers, accounts payable staff, accountants, budget analysts					
Contract specialists					
Investment analysts, traders, portfolio staff					
Other					
Other					
Those with access to cash					
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	neck Performed	
Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name-based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)
Cashiers					<u> </u>
Other					
Other					
Internal auditing					
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	neck Performed	
Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name-based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)
Internal auditors					
Other					
Other	П	П	П	П	

Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information					
Those with access to personnel information					
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	eck Performed	
Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name-based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)
Human resource staff					
Other					
Other					
Decision makers on legal issues					
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	eck Performed	
	FBI	State Fingerprint Check through	Name-based Search through	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment	
Position	Fingerprint Check	Dept. of Public Safety	Dept. of Public Safety	applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)
Position  General counsels, attorneys					
	Check	Public Safety	Public Safety	etc.)	
General counsels, attorneys	Check □	Public Safety	Public Safety	etc.)	
General counsels, attorneys Judges	Check	Public Safety	Public Safety	etc.)	
General counsels, attorneys Judges Other	Check	Public Safety	Public Safety	etc.)	
General counsels, attorneys Judges Other	Check	Public Safety	Public Safety	etc.)	
General counsels, attorneys Judges Other	Check	Public Safety	Public Safety	etc.)	
General counsels, attorneys Judges Other Other Those ensuring legal, regulatory, and other co	Check  Check  FBI Fingerprint	Public Safety	Public Safety	etc.)	specify)
General counsels, attorneys Judges Other Other Those ensuring legal, regulatory, and other co	Check  Check  FBI Fingerprint Check	Public Safety	Public Safety	etc.)  ceck Performed  Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	specify)
General counsels, attorneys Judges Other Other Those ensuring legal, regulatory, and other con	Check  Check  FBI Fingerprint Check	Public Safety	Public Safety	etc.)  ceck Performed  Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	specify)

Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information					
Law enforcement					
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	neck Performed	
Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name-based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)
Correctional officers, security staff, game wardens, criminalists, park rangers					
Other					
Other					
Those with access to key secured data					
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	neck Performed	
Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name-based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)
Information technology personnel, including network specialists, database analysts, systems analysts					
Other					
Other					
Those with direct contact with at-risk individu	als (e.g., minors	, senior citizens,	or people with d	lisabilities)	
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	eck Performed	
Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name-based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)
Caseworkers, counselors					
Other					
Other					

Survey of State	Agencies: Crin	ninal History Re	ecord Informati	on		
Those with direct responsibility for the care/s	Those with direct responsibility for the care/safety of individuals					
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	neck Performed		
Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name-based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)	
Nurses, pharmacists, physicians and other health care professionals or workers						
Other						
Other						
Those holding master keys or who have direct	Those holding master keys or who have direct access/ responsibility for state property of a significant value					
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	neck Performed		
Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name-based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)	
Property managers, custodians, housekeeping staff, or repair and maintenance staff						
Other						
Other						
Those with direct access to controlled substan	ces or bio-hazar	dous/toxic mater	ials			
		Type of Crimin	al Background Ch	neck Performed		
Position	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name-based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-reported by the Party Concerned (e.g., employee, employment applicants, etc.)	Other (Please specify)	
Laboratory research staff, environmental, health and safety staff, and laboratory technicians						
Other						
Other						
Please note any exceptions regarding criminal	background che	ck practices for a	iny positions liste	ed above:		

### Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information

8. For each category, how often does the agency repeat criminal background checks on the same employee? (A year equals a period of any 12 consecutive months.)

			Each Time the Individual's	Only After		
Category	At least once a year	Less than once a year	Position or Job Responsibilities Change	Agency Becomes Aware of an Arrest	Other. (Please specify):	No repeat checks
Executive						
Those with extensive authority to commit the financial resources of the agency						
Those with access to cash						
Internal auditing						
Those with access to personnel information						
Decision makers on legal issues					<del></del>	
Those ensuring legal, regulatory, and other compliance						
Law enforcement						
Those with access to key secured data						
Those with direct contact with at-risk individuals (e.g., minors, senior citizens, or people with disabilities)						
Those with direct responsibility for the care/safety of individuals						
Those holding master keys or who have direct access/responsibility for state property of a significant value						
Those with direct access to controlled substances or bio-hazardous/toxic materials						

### Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information

9. What type of conviction(s) or deferred adjudication(s) could prevent an individual from obtaining or retaining employment with your agency? (Select all that apply.)

Employees by Category of Position							
, , , , , ,	Type of Disqualifying Convictions/Deferred Adjudications						
Category	Felony of 1st degree	Felony of 2nd degree	Felony of 3rd degree	State Jail Felony	Misdemeanor	Deferred Adjudication	No specific guideline.**
Executive							
Those with extensive authority to commit the financial resources of the agency							
Those with access to cash							
Internal auditing							
Those with access to personnel information							
Decision makers on legal issues							
Those ensuring legal, regulatory, and other compliance							
Law enforcement							
Those with access to key secured data							
Those with direct contact with at-risk individuals (e.g., minors, senior citizens, or people with disabilities)							
Those with direct responsibility for the care/safety of individuals							
Those holding master keys or who have direct access/ responsibility for state property of a significant value							
Those with direct access to controlled substances or bio-hazardous/toxic materials							
** Agency evaluates criminal history	record on a ca	ase-by-case bas	is in relation to	job responsib	ilities. (Please ex	plain below.)	
9a. If answering "No specific guideline" to any position category, please explain the factors you consider in evaluating the relevance of an applicant's/employee's criminal history record to job responsibilities (e.g., type of offense, age when offense was committed, time elapsed since conviction/deferred adjudication, etc.). Or enter additional comments regarding disqualifying convictions or deferred adjudications by employees in the following text box.							

	Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information
The next four o	questions pertain to criminal background checks related to contractors of outsourced services.
	outsourcing means the practice of contracting with an outside entity, called the contractor, to provide a service or nerwise would be provided by the agency internally.)
10. What is the	extent of criminal background checks you require contractors to perform on their employees?
	Require that criminal background checks be performed on all employees the contractor assigns to the contracted services.
	Require that criminal background checks be performed on <b>some</b> employees the contractor assigns to the contracted services.
	Other. Please specify:
11. What type(s	s) of criminal background checks does your agency require contractors to perform? (Select all that apply.)
	FBI fingerprint check
	State fingerprint check through the Department of Public Safety
	Name based search through the Department of Public Safety
	Self-reported by the contractor's employee
	Other. Please specify:
	does your agency require contractors to repeat criminal background checks on the same employees assigned to ntracted services? (A year equals a period of any 12 consecutive months.)
	At least once a year
	Less than once a year
	Each time the contract is renewed
	Only when the contractor becomes aware of a subsequent arrest of the employee
	No repeat criminal background check is required
	Other. Please specify:
	contract, what type of conviction(s) or deferred adjudication(s) could prevent a contractor's employee from gagency? (Select all that apply)
	Felony of 1st degree
	Felony of 2nd degree
	Felony of 3rd degree
	State Jail Felony
	Misdemeanor
	Deferred Adjudication
	No specific guideline.**
**Agency evalua	tes criminal history record on a case-by-case basis in relation to job responsibilities. (Please explain below.)
record of a con elapsed since c	ng "No specific guideline", please explain the factors consider in evaluating the relevance of the criminal history tractor's employee to the job responsibilities (e.g., type of offense, age when offense was committed, time onviction/deferred adjudication, etc.). Or enter additional comments regarding disqualifying convictions or ications by contractors' employees in the following text box.

Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information							
The following questions	pertain to the o	lients for which yo	ou indicated you	ır agency cor	nducts crimi	inal backgro	ound checks.
14. On the table below,   category of clients serve			inal background	check that is	s conducted	on clients	within each
			Type of Crir	ninal Backgro	und Check	Performed	
Category of Clients the A	igency Serves	FBI Fingerprint Check	State Fingerprint Check through Dept. of Public Safety	Name- based Search through Dept. of Public Safety	Self-repo the Po Concerne emplo employ applicant	arty d (e.g., yee, ment	Other (Please specify)
Applicants for new license/certificate/permi	t					-	
Current holder of license/certificate/permit applying for renewal							
Clients applying for service legal services)	es (e.g.,					_	
Please note any exceptions regarding criminal background check practices for any clients listed above:							
15. For each category of (A year equals a period of			the agency repo	eat criminal i	oackground	checks on	the same individual?
Category	At least once a year	Less than once a year	Each Time th Individual's Position or Jo Responsibiliti Change	Only ob Agency es Awar	y After Becomes re of an rrest	Other (Please specify)	e No repeat
Applicants for new license/certificate/per mit							
Current holder of license/certificate/per mit applying for renewal							
Clients applying for services (e.g., legal services)							

_							T T
	Survey of S	tate Agencie	s: Criminal Hi	story Record	I Information		
16. For each category of clients se obtaining services from your agence			conviction(s) o	deferred adj	udication(s) that	could prevent an	individual from
		Ту	pe of Disqualif	ying Conviction	ns/Deferred Adju	dications	
Category of Client	Felony of 1st degree	Felony of 2nd degree	Felony of 3rd degree	State Jail Felony	Misdemeanor	Deferred Adjudication	No specific guideline.**
Applicants for new license/certificate/permit							
Current holder of license/certificate/permit applying for renewal							
Clients applying for services (e.g., legal services)							
**The agency evaluates criminal hist other services from the agency. (Ple			basis in relatio	n to the type o	of clients applying	for a license/cert	ificate/permit or
16a. If answering "No specific guideline" to any category of clients, please explain the factors you consider in evaluating the relevance of a client's criminal history record to services available (e.g., type of offense, age when offense was committed, time elapsed since conviction/deferred adjudication, etc.). Or enter additional comments regarding disqualifying convictions or deferred adjudications by clients in the following text box.							

	Survey of State Agencies: Criminal History Record Information
	uestions pertain to criminal background checks performed on employees, contractors, and clients.
	set up in the Department of Public Safety's (DPS) Computerized Criminal History system that will notify your arrests of any individuals on whom criminal history information has been previously obtained?
	Yes
	No (skip Question 17a)
17a. If Yes to O	duestion 17, how does your agency follow up on the information received on new arrests? (Select all that apply)
	FBI fingerprint check
	State fingerprint check through Department of Public Safety
	Name-based search through Department of Public Safety
	Check with individual to whom information pertains
	Other. Please specify:
	Do not follow up
18. For an indiv home country?	vidual who is not a U.S. citizen, does your agency also obtain criminal history information from the individual's
	Yes
	No
19. What proble	em(s), if any, have you encountered when obtaining criminal history information? (Select all that apply)
	Lack of human resources
	Lack of funding to perform checks
	Other. Please specify:
	No problems encountered
20. Please provavailable.	ride us with a copy of your written policies and procedures for obtaining criminal history information, if
	Available online at (Please provide a specific URL, not the home page of your agency.)
	Will email a copy to research@sao.state.tx.us
	Will mail a copy to: State Auditor's Office, Attn: Audit Research and Legislative Coordination, P. O. Box 12067, Austin, TX 78711-2067
	Do not have written policies and procedures
21. Please indic	cate the reason(s) your agency does not obtain criminal history information.
	Lack of human resources
	Lack of funding to perform checks
	Lack of statutory authority
	Does not need such information for employees, contractors, and clients served
	Other. Please specify:
22. Please prov	vide any other comments regarding your agency's practice of obtaining criminal history information that is not ve.

# State Agencies and Higher Education Institutions That Participated in the State Auditor's Office's Survey

Table 22 lists the state agencies that participated in the State Auditor's Office's September 2006 survey regarding criminal background checks.

Table 22

State Agencies Participating in Survey		
Agency Number	Agency Name	Explicit Authority to Access Criminal History Record Information?
212	Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Office of	No
213	Prosecuting Attorney, Office of the State	No
243	Law Library, State	No
301	Governor, Office of the	No
302	Attorney General, Office of the	Yes
303	Building and Procurement Commission	No
305	General Land Office	No
308	Auditor's Office, State	Yes
313	Information Resources, Department of	No
323	Teacher Retirement System of Texas	No
325	Fire Fighters' Pension Commissioner	No
327	Employees Retirement System	Yes
333	State-Federal Relations, Office of	No
338	Pension Review Board	No
347	Finance Authority, Public	No
352	Bond Review Board	No
356	Ethics Commission, Texas	No
357	Rural Community Affairs, Office of	No
359	Insurance Counsel, Office of Public	No
360	Administrative Hearings, State Office of	No
370	Residential Construction Commission, Texas	Yes
403	Veterans Commission	No
409	Jail Standards, Commission on	No
448	Office of Injured Employee Counsel	No
455	Railroad Commission	No
469	Credit Union Department	No
473	Utility Commission of Texas, Public	No

State Agencies Participating in Survey			
Agency Number	Agency Name	Explicit Authority to Access Criminal History Record Information?	
475	Utility Counsel, Office of Public	No	
477	Emergency Communications, Commission on State	No	
479	Risk Management, State Office of	No	
527	Cancer Council	No	
529	Health and Human Services Commission	Yes	
554	Animal Health Commission	No	
555	Cooperative Extension, Texas	Yes	
556	Agricultural Experiment Station, Texas	Yes	
557	Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Laboratory, Texas	Yes	
576	Forest Service, Texas	Yes	
580	Water Development Board	No	
592	Soil & Water Conservation Board	No	
712	Engineering Experiment Station, Texas	Yes	
716	Engineering Extension Service, Texas	Yes	
727	Transportation Institute, Texas	Yes	
781	Coordinating Board, Texas Higher Education	No	
802	Parks and Wildlife Department	No	
808	Historical Commission	No	
813	Arts, Commission on the	No	
	Total Number of State Agencies that Participated 46		

Table 23 lists the higher education institutions that participated in the State Auditor's Office's September 2006 survey regarding criminal background checks.

Table 23

Higher Education Institutions Participating in Survey				
Institution Number	Institution			
	System Administration Offices			
710	Texas A&M University System Administration and General Office			
758	Texas State University System Administration			
768	Texas Tech University System Administration			
720	The University of Texas System Administration			

Higher Education Institutions Participating in Survey			
Institution Number	Institution		
783	University of Houston System Administration		
769	University of North Texas System Administration		
719	Texas State Technical College System Administration		
	Academic Universities		
737	Angelo State University		
734	Lamar University - Beaumont		
735	Midwestern State University		
715	Prairie View A&M University		
753	Sam Houston State University		
755	Stephen F. Austin State University		
756	Sul Ross State University		
713	Tarleton State University		
761	Texas A&M International University		
711	Texas A&M University		
751	Texas A&M University - Commerce		
760	Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi		
764	Texas A&M University - Texarkana		
718	Texas A&M University at Galveston		
732	Texas A&M University-Kingsville		
717	Texas Southern University		
754	Texas State University - San Marcos		
733	Texas Tech University		
731	Texas Woman's University		
736	The University of Texas - Pan American		
714	The University of Texas at Arlington		
721	The University of Texas at Austin		
747	The University of Texas at Brownsville		
738	The University of Texas at Dallas		
724	The University of Texas at El Paso		
743	The University of Texas at San Antonio		
750	The University of Texas at Tyler		
742	The University of Texas of the Permian Basin		
730	University of Houston		
759	University of Houston - Clear Lake		
784	University of Houston - Downtown		
765	University of Houston - Victoria		
752	University of North Texas		

Higher Education Institutions Participating in Survey			
Institution Number	Institution		
757	West Texas A&M University		
741	Sul Ross State University Rio Grande		
	Health-related Institutions		
709	Texas A&M University System Health Science	Center	
739	Texas Tech University Health Science Center	•	
785	The University of Texas Health Center at Tyl	er	
744	The University of Texas Health Science Cent	er at Houston	
745	The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio		
723	The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston		
729	The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas		
506	The University of Texas, M.D. Anderson Cancer Center		
763	University of North Texas Health Science Center at Forth Worth		
State Colleges & Technical Colleges			
789	Lamar Institute of Technology		
787	Lamar State College - Orange		
788	Lamar State College - Port Arthur		
923	Texas State Technical College - Harlingen		
926	Texas State Technical College - Marshall		
925	Texas State Technical College - Waco		
924 Texas State Technical College - West Texas			
Total number of higher education institutions that participated 58			

# Best Practices for Performing Criminal Background Check: Policies and Procedures

Table 24 lists the typical elements of the policies and procedures that higher education institutions have developed for performing criminal background checks on their employees.

Table 24

Typical Elements of Higher Education Institutions' Policies and Procedures For Performing Criminal Background Checks on Employees			
Typical Elements	Total Number of Higher Education Institution Policies and Procedures that Have the Specific Element (N=49) <sup>a</sup>	Examples/Sources of Support for the Policies and Procedures	
	Purposes		
To protect the institution, employees, and students' assets from loss due to fraud, abuse or misuse by employees.	19	Best business practice. b	
To promote safe working environment or safe campus.	21	Best business practice.	
To provide the institution with defense against negligent hiring lawsuits.	1	Best business practice.	
Specific Poli	cies and Procedures		
Specify security sensitive positions requiring criminal background checks in job descriptions and advertisements for the positions.	49	Texas Education Code, Section 51.215 (c).	
Specify the type of checks required for each position.	20	Texas Education Code, Section 51.215 (c); Texas Government Code, Section 411.087; Texas Government Code, Section 411.135.	
Require the employer to obtain the applicant/employees' informed consent before conducting the background check.	31	Best business practice and Fair Credit Reporting Act.	
Specify the consequences of refusing to submit to criminal background check or falsifying information on applications.	23	Texas Education Code, Section 51.215 (a).	
Protect individuals' rights. <sup>C</sup>	42	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Guidance on Race and Color Discrimination and Texas Government Code, Section 411.087(d)(3).	
Allow individuals to review results of criminal background check and to request correction, if necessary.	7	Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 50.12 and 16.34 and the Fair Credit Reporting Act.	
Protect individuals' privacy rights by establishing procedures for using, storing, disclosing, and destroying criminal history information.	42	Texas Government Code, Sections 411.084- 411.085, regarding use and disclosure of criminal history information from the Department of Public Safety and Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 20.33 regarding FBI checks.	

Typical Elements of Higher Education Institutions' Policies and Procedures For Performing Criminal Background Checks on Employees			
Typical Elements	Total Number of Higher Education Institution Policies and Procedures that Have the Specific Element (N=49) <sup>a</sup>	Examples/Sources of Support for the Policies and Procedures	
Specify parties responsible for performing key responsibilities related to criminal background checks.	40	Best business practice by assigning specific responsibilities to employees and Texas Government Code, Section 411.094(e) (regarding destruction of criminal history information).	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The State Auditor's Office surveyed 58 higher education institutions. Of those institutions, 57 perform checks on employees and 49 have applicable policies and procedures.

Table 25 lists the typical elements of the policies and procedures that higher education institutions have developed for performing criminal background checks on students.

Table 25

Typical Elements of Higher Education Institutions' Policies and Procedures For Performing Criminal Background Checks on Students			
Typical Elements	Total Number of Higher Education Institution Policies and Procedures That Have the Specific Element (N=17) <sup>a</sup>	Examples/Sources of Support for the Policies and Procedures	
Purposes			
To abide by the policies and requirements of affiliated organizations. (The end purpose may be to screen out from a degree program those students who may not meet the requirements for a license to practice a profession.)	13	Policies and requirements of affiliated organizations.	
To implement the standards or recommendations promulgated by professional organizations such as: the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) and the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE).	0	AAMC's recommendation for medical schools to conduct checks on admitted applicants (The Association of American Medical Colleges, August 26, 2005).	
		ACPE accreditation standards require pharmacy schools to publish a criminal background check policy. ACPE requires pharmacy colleges and schools that do not yet have a statement or policy on criminal background checks to develop one by July 2007 to be in compliance with the standards. (American Association of	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Best business practice of proactively identifying business risks and mitigating those risks by implementing appropriate guidelines and actions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Protect individuals' rights against discrimination by developing and implementing policies and procedures for performing criminal background checks that are consistent with statutory guidelines before making hiring decision.

Typical Elements of Higher Education Institutions' Policies and Procedures For Performing Criminal Background Checks on Students			
Typical Elements	Total Number of Higher Education Institution Policies and Procedures That Have the Specific Element (N=17) a	Examples/Sources of Support for the Policies and Procedures	
		Colleges of Pharmacy, November 2006)	
When Ch	ecks are Conducted		
During the process of admission to a degree program (e.g., after making the admission decision but before informing the student of such decision).	9	Suggested by the National Association of College and University Attorneys (March 10, 2006, p. 3).	
Before starting the first major course that requires background check (e.g., practicum, clinical rotation).	10	Policies and requirements of affiliated organizations.	
Specific Po	licies and Procedures		
Inform applicants about degree programs requiring criminal background checks.	18	Best business practice and Texas Education Code, Section 51.805(d). d	
Specify disqualifying criminal history.	11	Best business practice and Texas Education Code, Section 51.805(d).	
Specify the cost of the criminal background check and who pays for it.	17	Best business practice and Texas Education Code, Section 51.805(d).	
Specify consequences of significant findings of criminal background checks and/or falsification of information on the application form.	8	Best business practice and Texas Education Code, Section 51.805(d).	
Obtain student's informed consent prior to conducting a criminal background check.	9	Best business practice; Fair Credit Reporting Act.	
Allow students to review the results of criminal background checks and to request correction if necessary.	9	Family Educational Record Privacy Act.	
Allow student to appeal unfavorable admission decision due to criminal background check results.	8	Best business practice.	
Protect students' privacy rights particularly with regard to use, storage, disclosure, and destruction of criminal history information.	8	Family Educational Record Privacy Act.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The State Auditor's Office surveyed 58 higher education institutions. Of those institutions, 50 have academic programs and 31 conduct student criminal background checks; however, only 17 have applicable written policies and procedures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Affiliated organizations are those used by institutions to place their students for practical training. Policies and requirements of those organizations regarding student criminal background checks are usually driven by statutes and industry standards. For example, school districts have increasingly required checks on prospective employees and volunteers (including students) based on their authority under Texas Education Code, Section 22.083, and Texas Government Code, Section 411.097. Similarly, hospitals and other health facilities have increasingly required checks on employees and volunteers in keeping with standards set by the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Health Organizations (JCAHO) and their authority to conduct criminal background checks under Texas Government Code, Section 411.136.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The recommendation by the Association of American Medical Colleges is relatively recent (August 26, 2005), which may be a reason it is not yet reflected in the higher education institutions' policies and procedures we reviewed. Similarly, the accreditation standard regarding criminal background checks on students, promulgated by the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education, was adopted only on January 15, 2006 (Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education, January 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Texas Education Code, Section 51.805(d), requires the publication of factors considered in making admission decisions, not later than one year before the date the applications are considered.

Table 26 lists the typical elements of the policies and procedures that state agencies has developed for performing criminal background checks on employees and clients.

Table 26

Typical Elements of State Agencies' Policies and Procedures for Performing Criminal Background Checks on Employees and Clients			
Typical Elements of Policies and Procedures	Total Number of Agency Policies and Procedures That Have the Specific Element (N=17) <sup>a</sup>	Examples/Sources of Support for the Policies and Procedures	
	Purposes <sup>b</sup>		
Protect an agency's assets from loss (due to fraud, abuse, or misuse by agency employees).	5	Best business practice.	
Promote safe environment for both employees and clients.	5	Best business practice.	
Provide the agency with defense against negligent hiring lawsuits or negligence in the conduct of licensing, permitting, or certifying process. <sup>C</sup>	0	Best business practice.	
Sp	ecific Procedures		
Specify the positions requiring criminal background checks or the individuals subject to criminal background checks usually in job advertisements or requirements for license, permit, or certification.	17	Various statutes. <sup>d</sup>	
Specify the type of criminal background checks required of individuals (usually depending on the position or license applied for and the individual's previous residence).	14	Various statutes. <sup>d</sup>	
Specify part(ies) responsible for performing key actions/responsibilities related to criminal background checks.	11	Best business practice.	
Protect individuals' rights against discrimination through proper timing of the criminal background checks and appropriate review of the criminal history information using statutory guidelines.	15	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Guidance on Race and Color Discrimination and Texas Government Code, Section 411.1405(e).	
Obtain the written consent of the individual subject to a criminal background check.	7	Best business practice, Fair Credit Reporting Act.	
Specify the consequences of the individual's (1) refusal to submit to criminal background check and/or (2) falsification of criminal history information.	10	Various statutes. d	
Protect individuals' privacy rights by establishing procedures for using, storing, disclosing, and destroying criminal history information.	13	Texas Government Code, Sections 411.084-411.085, and various agency-specific statutes.	
Allow individuals to review the results of criminal background checks and to request correction, if necessary.	9	Fair Credit Reporting Act and Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 50.12 if FBI checks.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The State Auditor's Office surveyed 46 agencies, 23 of which indicated they perform criminal background on employees, contractors, or clients. Of those 23, 17 (74 percent) have developed policies and procedures for conducting criminal background checks.

# Typical Elements of State Agencies' Policies and Procedures for Performing Criminal Background Checks on Employees and Clients

Total Number of Agency Policies and Procedures That Have the Specific Element (N=17) <sup>a</sup>

Examples/Sources of Support for the Policies and Procedures

**Typical Elements of Policies and Procedures** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Although we did not review the policies and procedures of the 54 agencies surveyed in February 2006, it may be noted that 70 percent of those agencies perform criminal background checks on their clients, (e.g., applicants for initial issuance or renewal of a license, permit, or certificate).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> None of the agency policies and procedures we reviewed included this purpose for performing criminal background checks. Nevertheless, it is included here for consideration by agencies that may have high risk exposure to negligence lawsuits due to the nature of their functions and services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Texas has various statutes authorizing state agencies to access criminal history information as identified in Chapter 4 of this report. A number of those statutes also provide guidelines for management and use of information obtained.

State and Federal Statutes

**Arkansas Statutes** 

Louisiana Statutes

New Mexico Statutes

Oklahoma Statutes

**Texas Statutes** 

United States Code of Federal Regulations

United States Code, Office of the Law Revision Counsel, U.S. House of Representatives, October 2006.

#### **Audit Reports and Other Publications**

- "AAMC Endorses Criminal Background Checks: a Press Release," *AAMC*, August 26, 2005. Association of American Medical Colleges. <a href="http://www.aamc.org/newsroom/pressrel/2005/050826.htm">http://www.aamc.org/newsroom/pressrel/2005/050826.htm</a> (November 18, 2006).
- "Accreditation Standards and Guidelines for the Professional Program in Pharmacy Leading to the Doctor of Pharmacy Degree," *Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education*, January 15, 2006.

  <a href="http://www.acpe-accredit.org/pdf/ACPE\_Revised\_PharmD\_Standards\_Adopted\_Jan152006.DOC">http://www.acpe-accredit.org/pdf/ACPE\_Revised\_PharmD\_Standards\_Adopted\_Jan152006.DOC</a> (November 18, 2006).
- An Audit Report on State Agencies' Use of Criminal History Records, State Auditor's Office Report No. 06-049, released July 2006.
- Criminal Background Checks for Non-Criminal Justice Purposes: National Overview, Appendix A and C, Smith Alling Lane, Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs and Washington State Patrol, (October 2004).
- "Definition of Critical Elements For Use in University Background Check Policy Identified by Function," *Human Resources, University of California Irvine,* Revised December 4, 2000, <a href="http://www.hr.uci.edu/consult/critical\_elem\_matrix.pdf">http://www.hr.uci.edu/consult/critical\_elem\_matrix.pdf</a> (September 8, 2006).

- "Federal Statutes Authorizing Criminal Background Checks for Nursing Facility Job Applicants and Employees," <a href="http://www.dps.state.ak.us/Statewide/background/pdf/PL92-544\_backchks.pdf">http://www.dps.state.ak.us/Statewide/background/pdf/PL92-544\_backchks.pdf</a> (September 11, 2006).
- Harrison, Blake. "State Criminal Records," *NCSL State Legislative Report*, (Vol. 27, No. 16, September 22).
- Mace, Don (Publisher) "EEOC Guidance on race and color discrimination. June 7, 2006." *Fedweek*, <a href="http://www.fedweek.com/content/hfi/showpage1.php?title=2006-06-07">http://www.fedweek.com/content/hfi/showpage1.php?title=2006-06-07</a>> (September 11, 2006).
- McKnight, Deborah K. *Background Check Statutes: An Overview*, Minnesota Legislature: House Research Department, revised (January 2005).
- National Association of Colleges, Universities Attorneys, "Student Criminal Background Checks," *NACUANOTES*, *Vol. 4*, *No.1*, March 10, 2006, <a href="http://www.nacua.org/nacualert/memberversion/StudentCrimBckgndChks.asp">http://www.nacua.org/nacualert/memberversion/StudentCrimBckgndChks.asp</a> (July 19, 2006).
- Ramker, Gerard F. National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP): Improving Criminal History Records for Background Checks, 2005, (Bureau of Justice Statistics Program Report No. NCJ 211485, July 2006).
- "Report of the AACP Criminal Background Check Advisory Panel," *American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy*, November 2006. <a href="http://www.aacp.org/Docs/MainNavigation/ForStudentsApplicants/7803\_AACPBackgroundChkRpt.pdf">http://www.aacp.org/Docs/MainNavigation/ForStudentsApplicants/7803\_AACPBackgroundChkRpt.pdf</a> (November 18, 2006).
- Report of the National Task Force on the Criminal Backgrounding of America, (SEARCH, The National Consortium for Justice Information and Statistics, Sacramento, CA, 2005).

Copies of this report have been distributed to the following:

## **Legislative Audit Committee**

The Honorable David Dewhurst, Lieutenant Governor, Joint Chair The Honorable Tom Craddick, Speaker of the House, Joint Chair The Honorable Steve Ogden, Senate Finance Committee The Honorable Thomas "Tommy" Williams, Member, Texas Senate The Honorable Jim Pitts, House Appropriations Committee The Honorable Jim Keffer, House Ways and Means Committee

### Office of the Governor

The Honorable Rick Perry, Governor



This document is not copyrighted. Readers may make additional copies of this report as needed. In addition, most State Auditor's Office reports may be downloaded from our Web site: www.sao.state.tx.us.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, this document may also be requested in alternative formats. To do so, contact our report request line at (512) 936-9880 (Voice), (512) 936-9400 (FAX), 1-800-RELAY-TX (TDD), or visit the Robert E. Johnson Building, 1501 North Congress Avenue, Suite 4.224, Austin, Texas 78701.

The State Auditor's Office is an equal opportunity employer and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or disability in employment or in the provision of services, programs, or activities.

To report waste, fraud, or abuse in state government call the SAO Hotline: 1-800-TX-AUDIT.